CHAPTER 10

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

- **❖** Introduction
- **❖** COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL COMMENTS
- **❖** 2007 PLAN PUBLIC WORKSHOP
- **❖** WRITTEN COMMENTS AND RESPONSES ON THE 2007 PLAN

10. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

10.1 Introduction

The public participation process used in the development of this 2007 Clean Air Plan (2007 Plan) was implemented to assure that members of the public, the regulated industry, and government agencies, have an opportunity to provide input into shaping our present and future strategies to clean the air. This chapter describes the process used to obtain public input, itemizes the comments received on the draft plan and responses to public comments.

On May 24, 1994, the Air Pollution Control District Board of Directors (Board) formed the **Community Advisory Council** (CAC). The purpose of the CAC is to provide advice to the Air Pollution Control Officer (APCO) and the Board in matters relating to attainment planning, development and promulgation of air pollution control rules and other associated policy issues. The CAC considers and renders advice on subjects submitted to them by the APCO, the Board, CAC members, and the public. The CAC is chartered to consider issues related to air pollution planning and rulemaking for which the Air Pollution Control District (APCD) has jurisdiction.

The CAC's deliberations and recommendations are to consider, to the extent feasible and reasonable, the effects of APCD planning and rulemaking actions upon public health, the economy, the costs to industry, and the public, along with conformance with the mandates of all applicable local, state, and federal laws. The recommendations of the CAC are advisory in nature and neither the APCO, nor the Board, are bound by CAC recommendations.

Each Board member can appoint two representatives to the CAC. The Board was directed to select CAC members who contain a background related to community interest, professional business, or technical experience. For example a CAC member could have a working knowledge of land use planning, agriculture, petroleum production, medicine, engineering, transportation, environmental conservation, public health, business, or education.

Table 10-1 lists all thirteen Board members and each of their appointed CAC representatives.

TABLE 10-1

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD

BOARD APPOINTED COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL (CAC) APPOINTEE(S), 2007

BOARD MEMBER	TITLE	CAC APPOINTEE(S)
Salud Carbajal	Supervisor, First District	Bill Peitzke & John Robinson
Janet Wolf	Supervisor, Second District	Marc Chytilo& Fran Farina
Brooks Firestone	Supervisor, Third District	Norvell Nelson & Glenn Oliver
Joni Gray	Supervisor, Fourth District	George Croll & Patrice Surmeier
Joe Centeno	Supervisor, Fifth District	John Deacon & Kevin Wright
Russ Hicks	Mayor Pro Tem, City of Buellton	John Gilliland & Jayne Brechwald
Al Clark	Councilmember, City of Carpinteria	Tom Banigan
Lupe Alvarez	Mayor, City of Guadalupe	Bob Kober
Will Schuyler	Mayor Pro Tem, City of Lompoc	Bea Kephart & Ramzi Chaabane
Marty Blum	Mayor, City of Santa Barbara	Lee Moldaver
Larry Lavagnino	Mayor, City of Santa Maria	Tahir Masood & Gary Winters
Jim Richardson	Mayor Pro Tem, City of Solvang	Jim Hickling
Eric Onnen	Councilmember, City of Goleta	Bill Shelor & Roy Zbinden

Deleted: e

The APCD has specifically sought out input from the CAC on each element of the 2007 Plan as it was being developed over the past year. Starting in March of 2006, APCD staff presented specific portions of the 2007 Plan for the CAC to review and comment on. The CAC also provided recommendations regarding policy and other key issues that altered the direction, and ultimately enhanced the plan's contents. The highlights of these CAC meetings and the recommendations that occurred are listed in Section 10.2.

The public notice announced that the 2007 Plan was available for public review. The public comment period was from February 12, 2007 to March 28, 2007. Public notices announcing the date, time, and location of the public workshops were published in area newspapers, including the Santa Barbara News Press, the Santa Maria Times, and the Lompoc Record. A copy of the public notice can be can be found at the end of this chapter. A copy of all written comments on the 2007 Plan that have been submitted by the public, along with the written responses to these comments, is provided in Section 10.3.

As part of the APCD's continuing commitment to solicit public participation and input into plan development, public workshops were also conducted to present the draft 2007 Plan and accompanying environmental analysis. The focus of the public workshops was to allow public commentary on the plan while allowing APCD and Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) staff the opportunity to address concerns and answer questions regarding the plan and its contents. The public comments received verbally during the workshops were responded to at that time and are included in Section 10.4.

Public presentations of the 2007 Plan were conducted at workshops, before the Board at public hearings, and before the Community Advisory Council. A complete listing of all public workshops and plan presentations is contained in Table 10-2.

TABLE 10-2

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT CLEAN AIR PLAN PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS				
PRESENTATION	LOCATION	DATE		
Public Workshop	Days Inn, Buellton	March, 14, 2007		
APCD Monthly Board Meeting	Board of Supervisors Hearing Room Santa Barbara	June 21, 2007		
APCD Monthly Board Meeting	Board of Supervisors Hearing Room Santa Barbara	August 16, 2007		

10.2 COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL COMMENTS

This section summarizes the highlights of the CAC meetings pertaining to the 2007 Plan. The date of each CAC meeting and the Chapter or Plan element that were presented and discussed is listed in the following table. In addition, primary questions, comments, suggestions, and policy direction that staff received from the CAC members are included.

COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETINGS TO DISCUSS 2007 CLEAN AIR PLAN			
MEETING DATE	ITEM(S) PRESENTED		
March 8, 2006	Chapter 1 (Introduction)		
May 10, 2006	Chapter 2 (Local Air Quality)		
July 12, 2006	Chapter 4 (Emission Control Measures) & Activity Indicators for Future Year Inventories		
August 9, 2006	Chapter 3 (Emission Inventory) & Chapter 5 (Transportation Control Measures)		
September 13, 2006	Chapter 6 (Emission Forecasting) & Chapter 7 (Federal Maintenance Plan)		
December 13, 2006	Chapter 8 (State and Federal Clean Air Act Requirements) &		
	Chapter 9 (State Mandated Triennial Progress Report and Triennial Plan Revision)		
March 14, 2007	Plan Overview/Public Workshop		
June 13, 2007	Chapter 10 (Public Participation)/Plan Revisions and CAC Recommendation for Board		
	Approval		

March 8, 2006 Chapter 1: Introduction

The APCD presented Chapter 1 (Introduction) to the CAC. The CAC recommended that
any additions or deletions made to the 2007 Plan that are different from the 2004 Plan be
highlighted by using underscore and strikeout

May 10, 2006 Chapter 2: Local Air Quality

The APCD presented Chapter 2 (Local Air Quality) to the CAC. The CAC recommended the following:

- That a description of the data precision for State 8-hour standard be added to the Chapter.
- A per capita vehicle miles traveled in Santa Barbara County be compared to other counties in the state. These data have been incorporated into Chapter 5 and are presented in Figure 5-2.

July 12, 2006 Chapter 4: Emission Control Measures Activity Indicators

The APCD provided an overview of both emission control measures and the activity indicators and growth factors used to forecast future year emission inventories. Activity data used in emission forecasts were presented to the CAC so that they growth factors could be discussed and refined prior to the development of Chapter 6 (Emission Forecasting).

The CAC asked staff to address the following items:

Rules 342 and 361: Make these rule point of sale rules and leave the exemption at 5
 MMBtu or less. The APCD responded by explaining the concept of "all feasible measures" and the difficulties of regulating point of sale rules. After some discussion it

was decided that Rule 202 would be modified to require permits for all new and existing units greater than 2 million Btu per hour heat input. Also proposed Rule 361 would apply to all new burners and existing burners when they are replaced. Further, there would be a sunset clause at which time existing burners would be subject to Rule 361 requirements. For Rule 342, it was decided that the rule will remain a further study measure with a footnote that if the District is still non-attainment for the state ozone standard at the time of the next triennial update (2010), then the rule will be moved to a near-term control measure.

- The CAC suggested that a definition of "all feasible" be included in Chapter 4. A
 definition of "all feasible" has been added to the Chapter 4.
- The CAC recommended that APCD staff obtain historical well application data from the California Department of Conservation -Division of Oil and Gas (DOG) to determine whether the projection for a decline in the number of oil and gas wells is consistent with the current economics of the oil and gas industry. After APCD staff reviewed DOG oil and gas well application trends, it was concluded that the DOG data did not provide sufficient evidence to warrant revising the oil and gas well growth factors at this time. The APCD will revisit the oil and gas growth factors in the next planning cycle to determine whether current economics in the oil and gas industry have influenced trends toward growth in the industry.

August 9, 2006Chapter 3: Emission Inventory

Chapter 5: Transportation Control Measures

Chapter 3, Emission Inventory, and Chapter 5, Transportation Control Measures were presented to the CAC. The CAC did not recommend and revisions to Chapter 3. For Chapter 5, the CAC suggested the following minor revision:

Replace "may be" with "is" so that the text on page 5-10 reads as: "The resulting jobshousing imbalance that these housing costs have fostered is a contributing factor to VMT

10 - 6: Public Participation

growth rates into the future."

September 13, 2006 Chapter 6: Emission Forecasting

Chapter 7: Federal Maintenance Plan

For Chapter 6, the CAC comments resulted in the following changes to the draft Plan:

• Marine shipping base year and forecasted emissions were revised after the CAC suggested that the APCD follow the methodology used by the California Air Resources Board (ARB) to estimate these emissions. For the 2002 base year, consistent with ARB methods, the NOx emission factor was changed from 17.09 grams/kilowatt-hour to 18.1 grams/kilowatt-hour and the engine load factor was changed from 70% to 80%. For the future years (2010, 2015 and 2020), the 80% load factor was retained; however, it was assumed that ships traversing the Santa Barbara Channel will meet the International Maritime Organization NOx standard of 17.09 grams/kilowatt-hour by 2010.

December 13, 2006 Chapter 8: S

Chapter 8: State and Federal Clean Air Act Requirements

Chapter 9: State Mandated Triennial Progress Report and

Triennial Plan Revision

There were no comments from the CAC that required revisions to either Chapter 8 or Chapter 9.

June 13, 2007

Plan Revisions and CAC Recommendation for Board Approval

10.3 2007 PLAN PUBLIC WORKSHOP

This section summarizes all public comments and staff responses from the public workshop. The public workshop was held on March 14, 2007 in Buellton in conjunction with the normally scheduled CAC meeting. While members of the public were present at the workshop, all comments came from CAC members. Comments from the workshop and the responses to these comments are provided below.

Comments Received During March 14, 2007 Community Advisory Council Meeting

Tom Banigan:

- 1) How might the court remand of EPA's Implementation Guidance impact our rules and the 2007 Plan itself?
 - ➤ Staff believes that the federal appellate court decision will have no effect on our rules and it is uncertain how it may impact the 2007 Plan.

Ramzi Chaabane:

- 2) What are Ventura and San Luis Obispo are doing about reducing ship emissions off the coast of their counties?
 - Ventura and San Luis Obispo APCDs, working through auspices of the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association, are supporting stricter federal and state emission standards for marine shipping and are participating in an ongoing marine shipping retrofit project.

Marc Chytillo:

- 3) We should encourage the Board to fund a modeling attainment demonstration so that the impacts of marine shipping on air quality can be quantified.
 - Modeling efforts to demonstrate attainment require a significant level of staff time and funding. We believe the most cost-effective manner to produce this 2007 Plan is the emission inventory approach.

John Gilliland:

- 4) How do the marine shipping NOx emissions impact the approvability of the Plan?
 - ➤ Staff believes that both ARB and USEPA are aware that the APCD cannot mandate reductions in marine shipping NOx emissions and will consider that factor in approving the 2007 Plan.
- 5) If the marine shipping emissions get reduced sufficiently to fall below base year levels, can those emissions be put in a community bank?
 - ➤ To be banked, emission reductions would have to meet the provisions of APCD Regulation VIII.
- 6) What is the possibility of capturing seep emissions and obtaining credit for any reductions?
 - ➤ The existing seep containment structures demonstrate that it is feasible to capture additional seep emissions. Any emission reduction credits would have to meet applicable APCD rules and regulations.

Glenn Oliver:

- 7) Commented that rules requiring NO_x reductions may be leading to ROC increases, particularly from the technologies used to comply with Rule 333.
 - ➤ This concern will be addressed as new NO_x rules are developed.
- 8) Has concerns that there are no small replacement burners available to comply with Rule 361.
 - ➤ South Coast AQMD's Rule 11.46.1, last amended in 1994 has the same emission limit (30 ppmv NO_x) and boiler heat input range (2 − 5 million British thermal units) as proposed Rule 361. If small replacement burners have not been available in the past 17 years, we believe that the South Coast AQMD would have modified Rule 1146.1 to account for this concern.

Bill Pietzke:

9) Suggested that speed controls for marine vessels traversing the Santa Barbara Channel would be an effective control strategy as asked how it would be possible to get the authority to require speed reductions for Santa Barbara Channel marine traffic.

➤ While this is a good suggestion, there is argument among ship owners and operators about which speed is optimal for emission reductions. Additionally, the shipping industry is faced with a demanding schedule that involves precise coordination of several other industry types including port services, rail and trucking. Finally, it would be difficult to enforce mandatory reductions and noncompliant marine vessels would have an unfair economic advantage over those that would comply with such a rule.

Kevin Wright:

- 10) Is concerned that rule listed as "all feasible" come from districts that are classified as severe or serious nonattainment areas. In addition, he asked whether Santa Barbara County has ever met the 5% per year reduction of precursor emissions since the original determination in the 1991 Plan.
 - ARB guidance on developing "all feasible" requires us to consider regulations that have been successfully implemented elsewhere and not only districts with the same air quality classification as Santa Barbara County. We have never met the 5% reduction requirement.
- 11) Suggested that language on Rule 333 should be expanded to account for state ATCM's.
 - The text in Chapter 4 has been revised to include the internal combustion Air Toxic Control Measure as another reason for revising Rule 333.
- 12) Has concern that Rule 331 is in further study list and asked why we have rules that come from San Joaquin and South Coast.
 - As discussed in an earlier response, ARB's all feasible measures guidance directs us to look at regulations that have been successfully implemented elsewhere, including rules from South Coast and San Joachin. Rule 331 is on the Further Study list because South Coast and San Joachin have fugitive emission inspection and maintenance rules which are stricter than Rule 331.
- 13) Why has indirect source review not moved forward?
 - ➤ The APCD Board of Directors rejected inclusion of a Land Use Strategies chapter during the 2004 Clean Air Plan adoption hearing, and directed staff to pursue Land Use Strategies with local planning departments. APCD staff has met with planning staff through SBCAG's Technical Planning Advisory Committee and have requested input from the planning directors on including a Land Use Strategies chapter in the 2007 Plan. We will be bringing a draft land use chapter the CAC

and to the Board for their consideration.

Roy Zbinden:

- 14) Are emissions from pleasure craft and other harbor craft a significant part of the overall inventory?
 - ➤ Emissions from pleasure craft and other harbor craft make up a small fraction of the overall inventory. Base year (2002) ROG emissions from pleasure craft and commercial boats are about 1.9 tons per day, or about 4.5% of the total inventory (Santa Barbara County and OCS combined). Base year NOx emissions from pleasure craft and commercial boats are about 1.2 tons per day, which is approximately 1.5% of the overall emission inventory.

10.4 WRITTEN COMMENTS AND RESPONSES ON THE 2007 PLAN

This section provides all written comments received on the 2007 Plan and accompanying APCD staff responses to these comments.

MARCH 26, 2007 E-MAIL FROM PAT MICKELSON (CALTRANS) TO RON TAN (SBCAPCD)

Hi Ron! I've looked through the draft 2007 Clean Air Plan for SB County and have only a few comments with regard to Table 5-8 on page 5-18.

- 1. The Route 101 SM Way to SLO County line is under construction;
- 2. The 101 (Evans-Sheffield NB) auxiliary lane and bikeway were completed in February. Ribbon cutting was 2/21.
- 3. The Route 101/Stoke (Improve I/C with 2 LT, 1 RT and one auxiliary lane) I believe SBCAG did that project with Measure D funds and it was completed in May 1997.

Other than that - the Plan looks good to me and it reads easily despite the technicalities. I liked the historical perspective especially Table 1-1.

Thanks for letting me take a look.

Pat

March 28, 2007 Memorandum from Vandenberg Air Force Base to Ron Tan (SBCAPCD)



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

30TH SPACE WING (AFSPC)





SBAPCD

28 March 2007

MEMORANDUM FOR SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT
ATTN: RON TAN

FROM: 30 CES/CEVC

806 13th Street, Suite 116

Vandenberg AFB, CA 93437-5242

SUBJECT: Vandenberg Air Force Base Comments to Draft 2007 Clean Air

Plan (CAP)

- 1. Vandenberg Air Force Base (VAFB) would like to thank the District for the opportunity to comment on the Draft 2007 CAP. We believe the Plan clearly lays out the actions required to maintain compliance with the Federal eight-hour ozone standard.
- 2. In the 2001 and 2004 CAPs, the District included a conformity growth allowance for the Airborne Laser (ABL) mission at VAFB. The current Draft CAP does not include a specific growth allowance for the ABL mission at VAFB. While the ABL mission has not yet arrived at VAFB, we anticipate ABL related activities would start in late 2008 or early 2009. With the uncertainty generated from the vacating and remanding of the 2004 Rule implementing the eight-hour federal ozone standard, VAFB requests the previously approved growth allowance for the ABL mission be included in the 2007 plan. We request an allowance of 0.0656 tons per day of reactive organic compounds and 0.4867 tons per day of nitrogen oxides.
- 3. Should you have any questions regarding this comment please contact me at (805) 606-2068.

DAVID SAVINSKY, GS-12
Air Quality Program Manager
Environmental Flight

cc: APCD (Phil Sheehan) M&E (Tricia Drake) File

GUARDIANS OF THE HIGH FRONTIER

10 - 15: Public Participation

MARCH 28, 2007 COMMENTS FROM THE LAW FIRM OF WESTON, BENSHOOF, ROCHEFORT, RUBALCAVA & MACCUISH TO RON TAN (APCD)

Dear Dr. Tan:

We respectfully submit the attached comments on the SBCAPCD's Draft 2007 Clean Air Plan.

Anthonie M. Fang
Assistant to Jocelyn D. Thompson, Esq.
Weston Benshoof Rochefort Rubalcava & MacCuish LLP
333 S. Hope Street, 16th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Tel: (213) 576-1122 / Fax: (213) 576-1100
Email: afang@wbcounsel.com



(213) 576-1104 jocelyn@wbcounsel.com

March 28, 2007

VIA E-MAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Dr. Ron Tan APCD Planning and Technology Supervisor Santa Barbara County APCD 260 N. San Antonio Road, Suite A Santa Barbara, CA 93110

Re: Comments on Draft 2007 Clean Air Action Plan

Dear Dr. Tan:

We represent a potential project in Santa Barbara County which is being considered for a large sustainable mixed use development. While no formal application for the project has been filed and the design of the project is still in its conceptual phase, we have taken this opportunity to review the Santa Barbara County APCD's draft 2007 Clean Air Action Plan ("Draft Plan"), and we respectfully submit the following comments.

Our comments are focused on the Plan's reliance upon 2002 Regional Growth Forecast (RGF) data provided by the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG). We are concerned about the District's reliance upon growth forecasts that already are five years old. Given the importance of this data to the District's air quality planning, the Final Plan should incorporate data from a 2007 RGF that SBCAG plans to release shortly for public review. We anticipate that our client's project will be included in the scope of the RGF.

333 SOUTH HOPE STREET • SIXTEENTH FLOOR • LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 • TEL 213 576 1000 • FAX 213 576 1100

Dr. Ron Tan March 28, 2007 Page 2

In its Public Notice of February 12, 2007, the District states that more recent SBCAG growth forecast data may become available during the public review period for the Draft Plan. We urge the District to ensure that SBCAG's 2007 data is incorporated into the Final Clean Air Plan.

Very truly yours,

Jøcelyn Thompson WESTON, BENSHOOF,

ROCHEFORT, RUBALCAVA & MacCUISH LLP

JNT/lkl

cc: Vijaya Jammalamadaka (Via Email and U.S. Mail)



943240.2

APCD RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENTS

• Comments From Pat Mickelson, CalTrans

Comment: Update Table 5-8

Response: The project list will be updated. The following projects have been completed and will be deleted:

- Route 101 (Evans-Sheffield NB) add auxiliary lane and construct Class 1 bikeway
- Route 101/Storke improve interchange with two left-turn and one right-turn lane and one auxiliary lane
- Calle Real (Patterson to Kellogg) widen to four lanes
- Hollister/Storke widen intersection, dual left-turn lanes on all approaches excluding right-turn and third thru lane.
- $\bullet \;\;$ Calle Real (Fairview to Valdez) update link from two to four lanes to reflect existing network
- College Drive extension between Battles and Betteravia
- Betteravia/Bradley add dual left turn lanes.

However, Route 101 (Santa Maria Way to SLO County line widening to six lanes) will be retained as it is not yet completed.

• Comments From Vandenberg Air Force Base

Comment: Retain Vandenberg AFB Airborne Laser Mission Conformity Growth Allowance

Response:

Although General Conformity is not applicable to this 2007 Plan, conformity growth allowance emissions have been added to Chapter 6 and are presented in Table 6-2. Note that the request for a NOx_allowance of 0.4867 tons per day does not take into account the requirement that VAFB offset ABL emissions by withdrawing Emission Reduction Credits (ERC's) from the VAFB Source Register. The NOx ERC used to offset ABL emissions is 0.1265 tons per day; therefore, the conformity growth allowance NOx emissions shown in Table 6-2 are 0.3602 tons per day for each of the future years.

• Comments From Weston, Benshoof

Comment: Use of Latest Regional Growth Forecast

Response:

The Regional Growth Forecast (RGF), prepared by the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG), is utilized in the 2007 Plan to forecast future emissions for on-road motor vehicles and certain stationary source categories (e.g., residential fuel combustion). With respect to on-road vehicle emissions, RGF data are used in SBCAG's Travel Model which, together with another model (EMFAC),

10 - 19: Public Participation

generate the emission estimates. The RGF is currently being updated and the 2007 draft has been released by SBCAG for public comment. SBCAG staff anticipate that the 2007 RGF will be adopted by their Board sometime in early fall of this year.

Inasmuch as the APCD strives to use the most current data available in the 2007 Plan, the time frame for having a final 2007 RGF unfortunately does not mesh with the 2007 Plan schedule which calls for it's completion by June 2007. Now scheduled for APCD Board approval in August 2007, two months later than required by EPA (this delay was due to uncertainty caused by a recent federal appellate court decision; please refer to Chapter 7), the 2007 Plan would be delayed even further due to the time needed to input new RGF data and rerun the Travel Model to generate the on-road emission estimates. This process would require an additional four to six months after the 2007 RGF is finalized.

It should be noted, however, that the draft 2007 RGF forecasts less growth than the current 2004 RGF forecasts used in the 2007 Plan. Finally, the RGF is a policy-constrained forecast, based primarily on local land-use plans and as such generally does not account for potential individual development projects.



PUBLIC NOTICE Draft 2007 Clean Air Plan And Supplemental Environmental Impact Report Public Comment Period Starts February 12, 2007

Summary:

The Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (APCD) has prepared a Draft 2007 Clean Air Plan and associated Supplemental Environmental Impact Report. The Clean Air Plan is required by federal and state law to show how the county will reduce ozone air pollution to meet health standards. The 2007 Clean Air Plan will be submitted to the California Air Resources Board and, as part of the State Implementation Plan, to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The Clean Air Plan addresses several specific mandates of the federal Clean Air Act Amendments, including the following:

Clean air plan componentfederal clean air act reference

Implementation Plan Requirements §110(a)(1) and §110(a)(2)

The 2007 Clean Air Plan also provides a three-year update to the 2004 Clean Air Plan, 2001 Clean Air Plan, 1998 Clean Air Plan, 1994 Clean Air Plan, and the 1991 Air Quality Attainment Plan, as required by the California Clean Air Act. The 2007 Clean Air Plan includes previously adopted air pollution control measures and newly proposed/contingency emission control measures. During the public review period for the Draft 2007 Clean Air Plan, additional on-road emissions data and growth forecast data may become available from the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments. These data will be incorporated into the Final 2007 Clean Air Plan if they are adopted by the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments prior to adoption of the 2007 Clean Air Plan.

Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act(CEQA), the APCD has prepared a Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for the 2007 Clean Air Plan.

Public Review:

The Draft 2007 Clean Air Plan and the Supplemental Environmental Impact Report will be available for review and comment for 45 days beginning on February 12, 2007. Both documents will be available at public libraries in Santa Maria, Buellton, Lompoc, Goleta, Santa Barbara, UCSB, on the APCD website at www.sbcaped.org, and at the following two locations:

Air Pollution Control District 260 N. San Antonio Road, Suite A

Air Pollution Control District 301 E. Cook Street, Suite L

Santa Barbara

Santa Maria

Public Workshop:

A public workshop has been scheduled to present the Draft 2007 Clean Air Plan and Supplemental Environmental Impact Report in order to receive public comments at the following time and location:

> Wednesday, March 14th, 2007: 6:30 PM Days Inn, Windmill Room 114 East Highway 246 - Buellton

Following the public workshop, there will also be a meeting of the APCD Community Advisory Council at the same location. The public is invited to attend.

Written Comments:

All written comments on the Draft 2007 Clean Air Plan and Supplemental Environmental Impact Report must be received, preferably by email, by 5:00 PM, Wednesday March 28th, 2007. Submit comments on the 2007 Clean Air Plan to Dr. Ron Tan, APCD Planning and Technology Supervisor, 260 N. San Antonio Road, Suite A, Santa Barbara, CA 93110 or rlt@sbcapcd.org. Submit comments on the Supplemental Environmental Impact Report to Ms. Vijaya Jammalamadaka, APCD Community Programs Section, 260 N. San Antonio Road, Suite A, Santa Barbara, CA 93110, or vli@sbcapcd.org. Please contact Dr. Tan at (805) 961-8812 or Ms. Jammalamadaka at (805) 961-8893 for more information.

(Published February 12, 2007)

Deleted: 10 - 21¶

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold