CHAPTER 6

EMISSION FORECASTING

- ✤ INTRODUCTION
- * EMISSION FORECAST
- ***** Emission Inventories
- ***** IMPACTS OF MARINE SHIPPING EMISSIONS
- **CONCLUSION**

6. EMISSION FORECASTING

6.1 INTRODUCTION

Chapter 6 presents the three two emission inventory forecasts used in the development of this $\frac{2007}{2010}$ Plan. These inventories are the $\frac{20102020}{2010}$ and $\frac{20152030}{2010}$ and $\frac{2020}{2020}$ Planning Emission Inventory forecasts of reactive organic compounds (ROC) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) emissions in Santa Barbara County and the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), offshore of Santa Barbara County.

The 20102002, and 20152030 and 2020-Planning Emission Inventory forecasts are based on the 20022007 Planning Emission Inventory, which is described in *Chapter 3, Emission Inventory*. This 20022007 Planning Emission Inventory is the base year for emission forecasting and was developed by modifying the 20022007 Annual Emission Inventory, (also described in *Chapter 3*). A Planning Emission Inventory is essentially a modified subset of an Annual Emission Inventory and differs from an Annual Emission Inventory in three ways. First, the creation of the Planning Emission Inventory involves adjusting the Annual Emission Inventory to account for seasonal variation because most exceedances of the state and federal ozone standards occur during the April to October ozone season. This is commonly referred to as a summer seasonal inventory. Second, the emissions from natural sources such as biogenics, oil seeps and gas seeps, and wildfires are excluded from the Planning Emission Inventory since they are not regulated or controlled through implementation of emission control measures. Finally, the annual emissions in the Annual Emission Inventory.

6.2 EMISSION FORECAST

The 20022007 Planning Emission Inventory is used to forecast emissions in order to determine whether the emission control measures described in *Chapter 4* and *Chapter 5* of the 2007-2010 Plan will reduce enough emissions in order to attain the state 1-hour and 8-hour ozone standards, and maintain the federal 8 hour ozone standard while accounting for the growth that is expected to occur in the county. The inventory approach to assessing progress assumes that if forecasted inventories are below base level values, then the reductions will be sufficient enough to meet air quality goals, particularly if an area is close to meeting the standard. It should be noted, however, that there are uncertainties with regard to using the emission inventory approach since there is not always a direct correlation between ozone precursor emissions and monitored ozone values. Important factors such as weather conditions and the transport of pollution from other areas can significantly influence local air quality and ozone concentrations. Photochemical modeling is often used in lieu of the inventory approach; however, due to resource limitations the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (APCDDistrict) is not able to provide modeling analyses for this 2007-2010 Plan.

To forecast future year emissions, the estimate of the changes in the level of pollution producing activities, known as "activity indicators", is used to grow the <u>20022007</u> Planning Emission Inventory. In addition, emission reductions resulting from local control rules adopted by the APCD Board of Directors and from statewide regulations adopted by the California Air Resources Board (ARB) are estimated and accounted for in the future year forecasts.

Since we are using a 20022007 emission inventory base year, future year forecasted emission inventories must be adjusted to account for the most recent Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs)

6 - 1: Emission Forecasting

that were in the APCD Source Register-during the 3^{rd} -quarter of 2006 as of April 23, 2010. ERC's are previous reductions in emissions that can be credited to allow increased emissions from a new or modified stationary source. USEPA policy mandates that ERC's must be treated as potential growth in forecast years. Total available ERC's in the Source Register for Santa Barbara County as of the 3^{rd} -quarter of 2006 April 23, 2010, were 0.37400.3077 tons per day of ROC and 0.43770.6212 tons per day of NO_x. These total ERC values are included in the emission forecast tables presented at the end of this chapter. A detailed list of each source that owns these ERC's are listed in **Table 6-1**.

TABLE 6-1

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY SOURCE REGISTER ERC's										
(AS OF 3 * Quarter 2006) (rons per day)									
	NO _*	ROC								
Arguello, Inc.	0.0011	0.1037								
Boeing	0.0098	0.0020								
BreitBurn Energy Company	0.0007	0.0010								
Chevron	0.0000	0.0194								
Dos Cuadros Offshore Resources, L.L.C	0.0000	0.0001								
E&B-Resource Management	0.0098	0.1074								
ExxonMobil Production Company	0.0000	0.0025								
GTC/Shell	0.0020	0.0076								
Lockheed Martin Corporation	0.0057	0.0076								
Plains Exploration and Production	0.0140	0.0483								
Southern California Gas Company	0.0003	0.0301								
Space X	0.0000	0.0053								
US Air Force VAFB ⁺	0.3943	0.0390								
TOTAL SOURCE REGISTER ERC's	0.4377	0.3740								

*-ERC's for the US Air Force - VAFB are only allowed to be used for projects at Vandenberg Air Force Base.

6 - 2: Emission Forecasting

<u>TABLE 6 – 1</u>

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY SOURCE R (As of April 23, 2010) (Tons per	EGISTER ERC's r day)	
	<u>NO</u> x	ROC
BKS Energy	0.0540	0.0008
BreitBurn Energy Company	0.0669	0.0010
E&B Resource Management	0.0098	0.1063
ExxonMobil Production Company	0.0127	0.0000
Plains Exploration and Production	<u>0.0162</u>	<u>0.1497</u>
Point Arguello Companies	<u>0.0709</u>	0.0000
Space X	0.0000	0.0053
The Okonite Company	0.0579	0.0000
United Launch Alliance	<u>0.0134</u>	0.0086
US Air Force – VAFB [•]	<u>0.3091</u>	<u>0.0361</u>
Wm. Bolthouse Farms	<u>0.0103</u>	0.0000
TOTAL SOURCE REGISTER ERC's	<u>0.6212</u>	<u>0.3077</u>

6.2.1 ACTIVITY INDICATORS

Forecasting quantities of pollution in future years is accomplished by assuming that the amount of pollution is related to activity levels of selected activity indicators. Examples of activity indicators include population, housing, employment, oil production, number of producing oil wells, daily vehicle miles traveled, and daily vehicle starts. The Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) is the source for several of the activity indicator estimates. The ARB and other state and local agencies also contributed activity data. These data represent the best available estimates of future activity levels for the county. The *activity factor* is the ratio of the 2010 2020 and, 20152030 and 2020 forecast levels of activity to the 2002 2007 level of activity. An activity factor of greater than one indicates an increase in growth, while an activity factor of less than one indicates a decline in activity relative to the 20022007 value. While activity indicators and factors have been determined for the milestone years of 2010 2020 and, 2015 2030 and 2020, the indicators for any intermediate year can be estimated through simple linear interpolation. It is not expected that the activity data for the intermediate years will "spike" resulting in non-linear trends in the data. Table 6-5 provides the 2002 2007 level of activity, the predicted 2010 2020 and, 2015 2030 and 2020 levels of activity, the activity factors, and the source of the forecast for each of the activity indicators.

Activity indicators are assigned to each Stationary Source and Area-Wide Source category described in *Chapter 3*. The categories of On-Road Motor Vehicles and Other Mobile Sources are derived from ARB's EMFAC2000 and OFFROAD Model, respectively. The ARB also provided the APCD emission forecasts for Consumer Products and Architectural Coatings.

* ERC's for the US Air Force – VAFB are only allowed to be used for projects at Vandenberg Air Force Base.

6 - 3: Emission Forecasting

6.2.2 CONTROL MEASURES

The next step in forecasting future year emissions is to account for regulations and control measures that have been previously implemented or that are scheduled for implementation. Emission reductions are achieved through implementation of federal, state and local controls on a variety of pollution sources, including Stationary Sources, Area-Wide Sources, and Mobile Sources.

The emissions from each source are reduced according to the expected efficiency of any control measures that apply to that source, taking into account any existing level of control. Estimated efficiencies take into account equipment (design) efficiencies, exemptions, phased implementations, and expected rates of compliance (assumed to be a default 80%, as recommended in USEPA guidelines). The resulting emissions after the application of control measures represent a seasonally adjusted emission inventory forecast.

6.2.3 VANDENBERG AFB AIRBORNE LASER MISSION GROWTH ALLOWANCE

During the preparation of the 2001 Plan, Vandenberg Air Force Base (VAFB) requested that the APCD include a General Conformity growth allowance into the 2001 Plan to account for an Airborne Laser (ABL) Mission that may potentially come to VAFB. On November 15, 2001, the APCD Board of Directors approved this request, with the condition that a portion of the emissions from the ABL Mission be offset by withdrawing Emission Reduction Credits (ERC's) from the VAFB Source Register. Although General Conformity is not directly applicable to this 2007 Plan since Santa Barbara County is in attainment for the federal 8 hour ozone standard, projected ABL emissions are presented in this Plan at the request of VAFB (see Chapter 10, Section 10.4). Table 6-2 shows the emissions from the ABL Mission. The remaining emissions from the ABL Mission are included as line items in Table 6-3.

TABLE 6 - 2

	ROC	NOx
	(Tons per day)	(Tons per day)
Projected 2005 Emissions for the ABL Mission by VAFB	0.0552	0.0634
Projected 2010 <u>2020</u> Emissions for the ABL Mission by VAFB	0.0656	0.4867
Projected 2015 <u>2030</u> Emissions for the ABL Mission by VAFB	0.0656	0.4867
Projected 2020 Emissions for the ABL Mission by VAFB	0.0656	0.4867
Source Register ERC's required to offset the ABL Mission	0.0000	0.1265
2010 <u>2020</u> Emissions added to the 2004 Plan for the ABL	0.0656	0.3602
2015 <u>2030</u> Emissions added to the 2004 Plan for the ABL	0.0656	0.3602
2020 Emissions added to the 2004 Plan for the ABL	0.0656	0.3602

*According to EPA's April 30, 2004 Phase 1 Implementation Rule, general conformity requirements would not apply to Santa Barbara County once the federal 1-hour ozone standard is revoked.

6 - 4: Emission Forecasting

6.3 EMISSION INVENTORIES

Planning emission inventory forecasts for $\frac{20102020_{7}}{20102020_{7}}$ and $\frac{20152030}{2020_{7}}$ for both Santa Barbara County and the OCS are presented in **Tables 6-3** and **6-4** and **Figures 6-1** through **6-4**, located at the end of the chapter. **Tables 6-3** and **6-4** provide a detailed summary of both ROC and NO_x emissions for each emission source category and for each forecast year. These tables also include base year ($\frac{20022007}{2002}$) estimates for each source category for ease of comparison with forecasted emissions. Table 6-5 presents activity data that are utilized to grow base year emissions data. **Figures 6-1** and **6-2** present a graphical time series representation of ROC and NO_x emissions for both Santa Barbara County and the OCS. **Figure 6-3** shows total NO_x emissions from both Santa Barbara County and the OCS, while **Figure 6-4** shows combined Santa Barbara County and OCS NO_x emissions, but does not include emissions from marine shipping.

The bar graph presented in **Figure 6-1** shows that Santa Barbara County onshore NO_x and ROC emissions are expected to decrease continually through 2020. Total onshore ROC emissions are forecasted to decrease from 40.84,31.83 tons per day in 20022007 to 34.51,26.55 tons per day in 2020,2030 representing about a 15,17 percent decrease in emissions. Total onshore NO_x emissions are projected to decrease from 41.21,38.79 tons per day in 20022007 to 23.12,18.45 tons per day by 2020,2030, about a 44,52 percent decrease in emissions.

On a source category basis, ROC emissions from onshore stationary sources are forecasted to increase from 9.348.85 tons per day in 20022007 to 11.089.69 tons per day in 20202030 while NO_x emissions from onshore stationary sources are expected to increase from 6.617.61 tons per day in 20022007 to 6.636.73 tons per day in 20202030. ROC emissions from area-wide sources are forecasted to increase from 9.928.36 tons per day in 20022007 to 11.45-9.59 tons per day in 20202030. Area-wide NO_x emissions are predicted to increase from 0.630.91 tons per day in 20022007 to 1.140.29 tons per day by 20202030.

The largest decreases in both onshore NO_x and ROC emissions are attributable to decreased emissions from onshore mobile sources (On-road Motor Vehicles and Other Mobile Sources). ROC emissions from onshore mobile sources are projected to decrease from 21.6214.62 tons per day in 20022007 to 11.977.27 tons per day in 20202030 (4550 percent decrease), while NO_x emissions from onshore mobile sources are expected to decrease to 15.3611.43-tons per day by 20202030 from 33.9630.27 tons per day in 20022007 (5562 percent decrease).

Figure 6-2 presents forecasts for OCS ROC and NO_x emissions. The figure shows that total offshore ROC emissions are predicted to increase from 3.883.27 tons per day in 20022007 to 4.53 4.82 tons per day in 20202030. Total offshore NO_x emissions are anticipated to increasedecrease from 39.2649.94 tons per day in 20022007 to 77.3542.55 tons per day in 20202030. Mobile sources on the OCS, predominately marine shipping, account for almost all of the anticipated growth in OCS ROC and NO_x emissions. ROC emissions from OCS mobile sources are expected to increase from 2.712.44 tons per day in 20022007 to 3.403.99 tons per day in 20202030, while OCS mobile source NO_x emissions are forecasted to increase decrease from 38.42 49.36tons per day in 20022007 to 76.52 41.97 tons per day in 2020 2030 as a result of revised international marine engine standards. The impacts of these international standards, along with state and federal marine fuel and engine standards are discussed in more detail in sections 6.4.1 and 6.4.2.

6 - 5: Emission Forecasting

It is anticipated that mobile sources (on-road and other mobile sources) will account for $43 \underline{30}$ percent of total onshore ROC emissions and $77\underline{68}$ percent of the onshore NO_x emissions by $2010\underline{2020}$. By $2020 \underline{2030}$, mobile sources are predicted to account for $35 \underline{27}$ percent of the onshore ROC emissions and $66 \underline{62}$ percent of the onshore NO_x emissions.

6.4 IMPACTS OF MARINE SHIPPING EMISSIONS

As discussed in the previous section, Santa Barbara County onshore emissions of ROC and NO_x are expected to decrease significantly by 2020, primarily from reductions in on-road mobile emissions and through the implementation of the California Clean Air Act's every feasible measure requirements. While Santa Barbara County onshore emissions are forecasted to substantially decrease during the planning horizon, OCS NO_x emissions are expected to dramatically increase from base year levels. The increase in OCS NO_x emissions is the result of projected growth in marine shipping activities, which are estimated to more than double from 2002<u>2007</u> levels by 2020. Note that in the 2001 and 2004 Plans, marine shipping emission forecasts were based on the projection of the number of vessel transits through the Santa Barbara Channel. It has become clear since then that transit projections are not a good indicator of forecasted emissions since transits can actually decrease while emissions increase. This is due to the fact that marine vessels are becoming larger in order to accommodate significant growth in cargo entering California ports. The trend toward larger ships is associated with larger engines that consume more power. As a result, forecasted emissions for this 2007 Plan are based on projections of ship power consumption rather than forecasted marine vessel transits.

Figure 6-3 presents combined OCS and onshore NO_x forecasts out to 2020. This figure clearly illustrates that increases in NO_x emissions from marine vessels will overwhelm stationary source NO_x reductions that will be achieved by implementing the every feasible measure strategy and by significant decreases in NO_x from onshore mobile sources. Combined NO_x emissions from onshore and OCS sources are anticipated to grow from 80.47 tons per day in 2002<u>2007</u> to 90.09 tons per day by 2010<u>2020</u>. By 2020, combined NO_x emissions are anticipated to increase to 100.47 tons per day, about 25 percent higher than base year estimates.

NO_x emissions from marine shipping alone (excluding commercial and recreational boats) are expected to grow to 53.58 tons per day by 2010<u>2020</u> from base year estimates of 37.37 tons per day, a 43 percent increase. By 2020, marine vessel NO_x emissions are forecasted to reach 75.37 tons per day, representing a more than two fold increase from base year levels. At these growth rates, marine vessel NO_x emissions will account for about 59 percent of the overall (onshore and

6 - 6: Emission Forecasting

OCS) NO_x-inventory by 2010<u>2020</u>, increasing to approximately 75 percent of the total NO_x inventory by 2020.

Figure 6-4 presents total onshore and OCS NO_x emissions but excludes the marine shipping contribution. This figure shows that existing and proposed emission reduction strategies on all sources other than marine shipping are anticipated to be successful at reducing future NO_x emissions below baseline levels. Excluding marine shipping emissions, total onshore and OCS NO_x emissions are predicted to be reduced from 43 tons per day in 2002<u>2007</u> to 25 tons per day by 2020, which represents about a 42 percent decrease in NO_x emissions over the planning horizon. These data are presented because while onshore control strategies provide significant reductions in NO_x emissions through the planning period, marine shipping emissions will negate any gains realized through these strategies. With increased difficulty in obtaining added reductions from onshore sources, further reductions will need to come from controlling marine shipping activities in order to meet air quality goals. This clearly indicates that additional action from the federal government, USEPA and ARB is required to reduce emissions from both American and foreign-flagged marine vessels traversing our coastline. Otherwise, the burden of attaining or maintaining air quality improvement goals may fall disproportionately on onshore sources.

Figure 6-5 displays combined onshore and OCS ROC forecasts. This figure shows that total ROC emissions are projected to decline through the forecast period. Combined ROC emissions from onshore and OCS sources are projected to decrease from base year levels by approximately 5.7 tons per day by 2020. Any increases in ROC emissions from marine shipping are negated by significant reductions in ROC emissions that occur from onshore sources, particularly on road mobile sources.

Figure 6-6 provide a graphical representation of ROC emissions from each source category for both onshore and OCS sources, but excludes marine shipping. As stated above, combined ROC emissions from onshore and OCS sources are expected to remain below baseline levels even with significant growth in marine shipping. **Figure 6-6**, however, emphasizes that proposed control strategies for onshore and non-marine shipping OCS sources will be effective in reducing ROC emissions to below baseline levels.

It is important to note that increases in NO_x emissions from marine shipping activities may not directly correlate to increases in ozone levels in Santa Barbara County since potential impacts are highly dependent on meteorological conditions. In fact, air quality has been improving in Santa Barbara County while marine vessel transits and emissions have been increasing over the last several years. To fully understand the impacts of marine vessel emissions on county-wide ozone levels, however, would require the use of photochemical modeling techniques. This would allow for an evaluation of potential impacts from all sources of ozone precursors (ROC and NO_x), both onshore and offshore, and would also provide an assessment of the relative contribution of impacts from marine vessel emissions on ozone concentrations. Since the resources and expertise required to perform photochemical modeling are beyond our capabilities, we must defer the need for such an exercise to the discretion of USEPA and ARB.

6.4.1 CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD FUEL SULFUR REGULATION

On May 29, 2009, as part of its Diesel Risk Reduction Plan, the ARB approved the regulation for Fuel Sulfur for Ocean-Going Vessels within California Waters and 24 Nautical Miles of the

6 - 7: Emission Forecasting

California Baseline. This regulation became effective on June 28, 2009, with compliance for Phase I fuel requirements beginning on July 1, 2009 for the main engines, auxiliary engines, diesel electric engines and auxiliary boilers. The Phase I fuel requirement requires the sulfur levels of Marine gas oil (DMA) to be at or below 1.5% sulfur, or Marine diesel oil (DMB) to be at or below 0.5% sulfur. The Phase II fuel requirement will become effective January 1, 2012, and will require both DMA and DMB fuels to be at or below 0.1% sulfur.

The United States Coast Guard (USCG) Headquarters issued a Marine Safety Notice to provide guidance on switching between heavy/intermediate fuel oils and marine distillates. In addition, ARB strongly advised non-tanker ocean-going vessels to continue using traffic separation lanes established by the International Maritime Organization (IMO) and USCG and avoid transiting through the Point Mugu Sea Range outside this traffic separation scheme. Evidence confirms that ocean-going vessels have chosen to ignore this advice from ARB and instead of traveling in the Santa Barbara Channel, about 70% of the vessels are now traveling south around the Channel Islands to avoid fuel switching and using the more expensive lower sulfur fuel. The USCG is concerned about safety and is now developing a Port Access Route Study to explore the range of impacts of vessel routing and to evaluate whether to establish shipping lanes south of the Channel Islands. The District will be working with the USCG to ensure that this study assesses air quality impacts and also requesting that the study considers vessel speed reduction measures.

The District is currently coordinating with ARB to model the onshore air quality impacts from ships using cleaner fuel traveling through the Santa Barbara Channel versus south of the Channel Islands.

6.4.1-2. PROPOSED REVISIONS 2008 AMENDMENTS TO MARPOL ANNEX VI

In October 2008, the International Maritime Organization (IMO) amended Annex VI of the MARPOL convention and will include several new requirements for Emission Control Areas (ECA) and global that enter into force starting July 1, 2011. Annex VI was ratified by 53 countries including the United States and represents almost 82% of the global shipping tonnage. The new Annex VI requirements include:

- new fuel quality requirements beginning from July 2010
- Tier I NOx requirements for existing pre-2000 engines
- Tier II NOx requirements for new engines starting 2011 (global). (Tier II standards represent a 20% NOx reduction below Tier I).
- Tier III NOx requirements for new engines starting 2016 (ECA). (Tier III standards represent a 80% NOx reduction below Tier I).

On March 26, 2010, the IMO designated North American ECA, extending up to 200 nautical miles from the coast. The North American ECA, which will become enforceable in 2012, includes standards for both NOx and Sox emissions. The ECA NOx emission standards require new engines to be certified Tier III by 2016. The ECA Sox emission standards require the sulfur content of fuel not to exceed 1.5% until July 2010, 1.0% until 2015, and 0.1% thereafter.

To summarize the IMO NOx standards, pre-2000 engines, require a 20% NO_x reduction except for those engines where reduction is impractical. These reductions need to be met by the year 2012. All engines on board any vessel constructed on or after January 1, 2011 need to meet a NO_x limit 15-25% below the current limits. These are known as Tier 2 NO_x limits.

6 - 8: Emission Forecasting

Beginning in 2016, all engines on board any new vessel constructed on or after January 1, 2016 must meet a NO_x limit 80% below the Tier 1 limits discussed above. These are known as Tier 3 limits.

Figure 6-3 presents combined OCS and onshore NO_x forecasts out to 2030. This figure illustrates that while marine shipping NOx emissions account for the largest percentage of the overall combined NOx inventory, IMO engine requirements will lead to a decrease in NOx emissions through the period. <u>increases in NO_x emissions from marine vessels will overwhelm stationary</u> source NO_x reductions that will be achieved by implementing the every feasible measure strategy and by significant decreases in NO_x from onshore mobile sources. Combined NO_x emissions from onshore and OCS sources are anticipated to decline grow from <u>80.47</u> 88.73 tons per day in 2007 to <u>90.09</u> 71.24 tons per day by 2020. By 2030, combined NO_x emissions are anticipated to <u>increase</u> decrease to <u>100.47</u> 61.00 tons per day, about <u>25</u> 31 percent <u>higher</u> lower than base year estimates.

<u>NO_x emissions from marine shipping alone (excluding commercial and recreational boats) are</u> expected to slightly decrease to 48.23 tons per day by 2020 from base year estimates of 48.62 tons per day. By 2030, marine vessel NO_x emissions are forecasted to decline to 41.87 tons per day, representing a 14% decrease in NOx emissions from base year levels levels.

Figure 6-4 presents total onshore and OCS NO_x emissions but excludes the marine shipping contribution. This figure shows that existing and proposed emission reduction strategies on all sources, excluding marine shipping, are anticipated to be successful at reducing future NO_x emissions below baseline levels. Excluding marine shipping emissions, total onshore and OCS NO_x emissions are predicted to be reduced from about 39 tons per day in 2007 to 19 tons per day by 2030, which represents about a 51 percent decrease in NO_x emissions over the planning horizon. These data are presented because while onshore control strategies provide significant reductions in NO_x emissions through the planning period, marine shipping emissions will negate any gains realized through these strategies. With increased difficulty in obtaining added reductions from onshore sources, further reductions will need to come from controlling marine shipping activities in order to meet air quality goals. This clearly indicates that additional action from the federal government, USEPA and ARB is required to reduce emissions from both American and foreign-flagged marine vessels traversing our coastline. Otherwise, the burden of attaining or maintaining air quality improvement goals may fall disproportionately on onshore sources.

Figure 6-5 displays combined onshore and OCS ROC forecasts. This figure shows that total ROC emissions are projected to decline through the forecast period. Combined ROC emissions from onshore and OCS sources are projected to decrease from base year levels by approximately 3.7 tons per day by 2030. Any increases in ROC emissions from marine shipping are negated by significant reductions in ROC emissions that occur from onshore sources, particularly on-road mobile sources.

Figure 6-6 provide a graphical representation of ROC emissions from each source category for both onshore and OCS sources, but excludes marine shipping. As stated above, combined ROC emissions from onshore and OCS sources are expected to remain below baseline levels even with significant growth in marine shipping. **Figure 6-6**, however, emphasizes that proposed control strategies for onshore and non-marine shipping OCS sources will be effective in reducing ROC emissions to below baseline levels.

6 - 9: Emission Forecasting

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

It is important to note that increases in NO_x emissions from marine shipping activities may not directly correlate to increases in ozone levels in Santa Barbara County since potential impacts are highly dependent on meteorological conditions. In fact, air quality has been improving in Santa Barbara County while marine vessel transits and emissions have been increasing over the last several years. To fully understand the impacts of marine vessel emissions on county wide ozone levels, however, would require the use of photochemical modeling techniques. This would allow for an evaluation of potential impacts from all sources of ozone precursors (ROC and NO_x), both onshore and offshore, and would also provide an assessment of the relative contribution of impacts from marine vessel emissions on ozone concentrations. Since the resources and expertise required to perform photochemical modeling are beyond our capabilities, we must defer the need for such an exercise to the discretion of USEPA and ARB. Based on the IMO NOx limits, future emissions have been calculated to determine the emission benefits of the IMO standards . As shown in Figure 6-7, NO_x emissions based on IMO limits are significantly lower than current estimates for the years 2012 through 2020. The NO_x emissions reflect the assumption that the marine shipping fleet turnover would be 2% per year. Additionally, Tier 2 reductions are assumed to be 20% below the current limits, which is the mid-point of the range proposed by the IMO. In the year 2012, one year after the proposed Tier 2 limits are initiated, NO_x emissions are calculated to be 48.85 tons per day, which is about 7 tons per day less than current NO_x emission forecast for 2012. By 2030, the NO_x limits provide a NO_x reduction of about 65tons per day less than an uncontrolled forecast. These NOx reductions are substantial and reflect the introduction of Tier 3 standards, which are 80% lower than Tier 1 standards. On May 12, 2005, the Marine Environment Protection Committee (MEPC) of the International Marine Organization (IMO) requested that its Sub-Committee on Bulk Liquids and Gases (BLG) consider future emission limits for marine diesel engines and their fuels as amendments to MARPOL Annex VI. The BLG was asked to examine available and developing methods for reducing NO₄ emissions from large marine vessels and to recommend future NO₄ emission limits. Recently, the United States membership of the BLG drafted a NO_{*} control proposal for large marine vessels engaged in international commerce. The key elements of the United States proposal are: For pre-2000 engines, a 20% NO_x reduction would be required except for those engines where Formatted: Not Strikethrough reduction is impractical. These reductions would need to be met by the year 2012. All engines on board any vessel constructed on or after January 1, 2011 would need to meet a NO* limit 15-25% below the current limits. These are known as Tier 2 NO_x limits. Beginning in 2016, all engines on board any new vessel constructed on or after January 1, 2016 must meet a NO_x-limit 80% below the Tier 1 limits discussed above. These are known as Tier 3 Formatted: Not Strikethrough limits. While these limits are only recommendations based on current and developing technologies, future Formatted: Not Strikethrough emissions have been calculated to determine emission benefits should the proposed emission standards be adopted. As shown in Figure 6-7, NO_x emissions based on proposed limits would be significantly lower than current estimates for the years 2012 through 2020. The NO_x emissions based on the proposed limits reflect the assumption that the marine shipping fleet turnover would

6 - 10: Emission Forecasting

be 2% per year. Additionally, Tier 2 reductions are assumed to be 20% below the current limits,

Formatted: Strikethrough

which is the mid-point of the range proposed by the United States. In the year 2012, one year after the proposed Tier 2 limits would be initiated, NO_x-emissions are calculated to be 44.11 tons per year if the proposed NO_x limits were adopted. This is about 3 tons per day less than current NO_x emission forecasts for 2012. By 2020, the proposed NO_x-limits would provide a NOx reduction of about 28 tons per day less than the current forecast. These potential NO_x-reductions are substantial and reflect proposed Tier 3 standards, which are 80% lower than Tier 1 standards.

The NO_{*} reduction proposal submitted by the United States, along with proposals submitted by other member nations was scheduled to for further discussion with the MEPC in July 2007. These discussions, however, have been postponed until March 2008, which is the earliest time any amendments to Annex VI will be adopted. The APCD will closely follow the development of the United States' proposal and other proposed revisions to MARPOL Annex VI. With the current IMO schedule, any revisions to MARPOL Annex VI will not be adopted in time to be reflected in this Plan. Any amendments to MARPOL Annex VI leading to NO_{*} reductions, however, will be incorporated into future planning cycles.

6.5 CONCLUSION

This chapter presents the $\frac{20102020 \text{ and}}{2020}$ $\frac{20152030}{2020}$ $\frac{1}{2020}$ Planning Emission Inventory Forecasts. The $\frac{20002007}{2000}$ Planning Emission Inventory is used as the basis to calculate the $\frac{20102020}{2020}$ and $\frac{2030}{2020}$ forecasts.

ROC emissions from onshore stationary and area-wide sources are forecasted to increase over base year levels by about $\frac{1.770.53}{1.23}$ and $\frac{1.53}{1.23}$ tons per day, respectively, by $\frac{2020}{2030}$. NO_x emissions from onshore stationary sources are anticipated to increase decrease from base year levels by about $\frac{0.021.49}{0.021.49}$ tons per day by $\frac{2020}{2030.5}$ while NO_x emissions increases decrease from over base year estimates by about 0.62 tons per day by 2030 are expected to be about 0.51 tons per day by 2020 for onshore area-wide sources.

Any increases in ROC and NO_x emissions from onshore stationary and area-wide sources are significantly offset by emission reductions from onshore mobile sources. Baseline ROC emissions from onshore mobile sources are predicted to decrease by nearly 9-over 7 tons tons per day by 2020 2030, while baseline NO_x emissions are anticipated to decrease by nearly $\frac{12-19}{12}$ tons per day by $\frac{2020}{2030}$. Mobile sources account for the highest percentage of overall onshore ROC emissions until $\frac{2010}{2030}$, when area-wide sources comprise the largest percentage contribution to the overall ROC onshore inventory. Although there are substantial reductions of NO_x emissions from mobile sources through $\frac{2020}{2020} 2030$, mobile sources are anticipated to comprise the largest portion of the total onshore NO_x inventory for each of the planning years.

International, federal and state marine shipping fuel and engine standards will significantly reduce shipping emissions throughout the planning horizon. Based on revised IMO engine standards, it is expected that NOx emissions from marine shipping will decrease from about 49 tons per day in 2007 to about 42 tons per day by 2030. While reductions of onshore ROC and NO_x emissions are forecasted to occur through the planning period due to existing and proposed emission reduction strategies, emissions from OCS sources are predicted to increase dramatically over the same time horizon. These increases in NO_x and ROC emissions in the OCS are exclusively from significant growth that is forecasted for marine shipping. Marine shipping NO_x emissions are expected to more than double from 20022007 to 2020. The increases in marine vessel NO_x emissions that are

6 - 11: Emission Forecasting

Formatted: Not Strikethrough

expected to occur will eliminate anticipated NO_x emission reductions from onshore sources. While monitoring data show that air quality in Santa Barbara County has improved significantly over the past several years, the expected growth in emissions from marine shipping could potentially jeopardize our ability to meet or maintain state or federal ozone standards. It should be noted that the ARB is currently in the process of finalizing a control measure to reduce particulate matter and NO_x emissions from marine vessel auxiliary engines. The proposed rule requires that marine vessel operators use cleaner marine distillate fuels when operating auxiliary engines within 24 miles of the California coastline. While significant reductions in particulate matter are expected from this proposed statewide rule, we anticipate that NO_x reductions will be less than 0.1 tons per day for Santa Barbara County. Further emission reductions from marine shipping will require additional action from ARB and USEPA.

6 - 12: Emission Forecasting

TABLE 6 3	2002 ROC	2002 NO*	2010 ROC	2010 NO _*	2015 ROC	2015 NO _*	2020 ROC	2020 NO*
Santa Barbara County	(tons per							
Emission Inventory	day)							

STATIONARY SOURCES

Formatted: Right: 0.5", Top: 0.5", Bottom: 0.5", Width: 8.5", Height: 11"

Fuel	Combustion								
010	ELECTRIC UTILITIES	0.0050	0.0244	0.0050	0.0244	0.0050	0.02 44	0.0050	0.0244
020	COGENERATION	0.0358	0.1183	0.0249	0.0830	0.0202	0.0681	0.0166	0.0564
030	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION (COMBUSTION)	0.2374	1.5376	0.2320	0.967 4	0.1888	0.7880	0.1548	0.6474
040	-PETROLEUM REFINING (COMBUSTION)	0.0023	0.0497	0.0016	0.03 44	0.0013	0.0273	0.0010	0.0218
050	MANUFACTURING AND INDUSTRIAL	0.0853	1.1559	0.1054	1.4269	0.1172	1.5861	0.1290	1.7457
052	FOOD AND AGRICULTURAL PROCESSING	0.1226	3.0207	0.1233	3.0295	0.1178	2.9594	0.1141	2.9164
060	SERVICE AND COMMERCIAL	0.0295	0.4787	0.0317	0.5042	0.0332	0.5179	0.0346	0.5411
099	OTHER (FUEL COMBUSTION)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	Fuel Combustion Total	0.5179	6.3853	0.5239	6.0697	0.4835	5.9711	0.4553	5.9532

Wast	Waste Disposal											
110	-Sewage Treatment	0.0003	0.0088	0.0003	0.009 4	0.0003	0.0097	0.0003	0.0100			
120	LANDFILLS	0.3372	0.003 4	0.4229	0.0043	0.4745	0.0048	0.5128	0.0052			
130	-Incinerators	0.0009	0.0086	0.0010	0.0091	0.0010	0.0093	0.0010	0.0095			
140	-Soil Remediation	0.0864	0.0000	0.8064	0.0000	0.8064	0.000	0.8064	0.000			
199	-OTHER (WASTE DISPOSAL)	0.000	0.0000	0.000	0.000	0.0000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
	Waste Disposal Total	1.1448	0.0208	1.2306	0.0228	1.2822	0.0238	1.3205	0.0246			

Cleaning and Surface Coatings

210	-LAUNDERING	0.0055	0.0000	0.0063	0.0000	0.0066	0.0000	0.0068	0.0000
220	-Degreasing	1.5860	0.0000	1.8514	0.0000	1.9728	0.0000	2.0793	0.0000
230	-COATINGS AND RELATED PROCESS SOLVENTS	1.9794	0.0000	2.382 4	0.0000	2.7487	0.0000	3.0188	0.0000
240	PRINTING	0.4523	0.0000	0.5142	0.0000	0.4844	0.0000	0.5013	0.0000
250	-Adhesives and Sealants	0.8591	0.0000	0.8096	0.0000	0.7764	0.0000	0.7489	0.0000
299	-OTHER (CLEANING AND SURFACE COATINGS)	0.0963	0.0000	0.1190	0.0000	0.1324	0.0000	0.1458	0.0000
	Cleaning and Surface Coatings Total	4 .9786	0.0000	5.6828	0.0000	6.1213	0.0000	6.5008	0.000

Petroleum Production and Marketing

310	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION	1.8019	0.0740	1.5989	0.0651	1.5026	0.0609	1.4081	0.0569
320	PETROLEUM REFINING	0.0441	0.0001	0.0305	0.0001	0.0248	0.0001	0.0203	0.0001
330	PETROLEUM MARKETING	0.5971	0.0000	0.6628	0.0000	0.6964	0.0000	0.7300	0.000
	Petroleum Production and Marketing Total	2.4431	0.0741	2.2922	0.0652	2.2238	0.0610	2.158 4	0.0569

6 - 13: Emission Forecasting

TABLE 6 3	2002 ROC	2002 NO*	2010 ROC	2010 NO*	2015 ROC	2015 NO*	2020 ROC	2020 NO*
Santa Barbara County	(tons per							
Emission Inventory	day)							

Indus	strial Processes										
410	CHEMICAL	0.0260	0.000	0.0318	0.000	0.0353	0.000	0.0389	0.0000		
4 20	-FOOD AND AGRICULTURE	0.1329	0.000	0.1479	0.000	0.1572	0.000	0.1666	0.0000		
4 30	-MINERAL PROCESSES	0.0110	0.0475	0.0136	0.0587	0.0151	0.0653	0.0166	0.0719		
440	-METAL PROCESSES	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
4 50	-WOOD AND PAPER	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
470	-ELECTRONICS	0.0006	0.000	0.0008	0.000	0.0009	0.000	0.0000	0.0000		
499	-OTHER (INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES)	0.0523	0.0839	0.0523	0.0839	0.0523	0.0839	0.0523	0.0839		
	Industrial Processes Total	0.2228	0.1314	0.2463	0.1426	0.2608	0.1492	0.275 4	0.1558		
	STATIONARY SOURCES TOTAL	9.3072	6.6116	9.9758	6.3002	10.3715	6.2050	10.710 4	6.1906		
AREA-WIDE SOURCES Solvent Evaporation											
510	-Consumer Products	3.0527	0.000	2.7620	0.0000	2.8304	0.000	2.8987	0.0000		
520	-ARCHITECTURAL COATINGS AND SOLVENTS	1.6885	0.000	1.4751	0.0000	1.5216	0.000	1.5758	0.0000		
530	-Pesticides/Fertilizers	3.1186	0.000	2.8335	0.0000	3.1172	0.000	3.4295	0.0000		
540	-Asphalt Paving/Roofing	0.2755	0.000	0.3353	0.000	0.3702	0.000	0.4043	0.0000		
	Solvent Evaporation Total	8.1353	0.0000	7.4059	0.0000	7.839 4	0.0000	8.3083	0.000		
Misco	ellaneous										
610	-RESIDENTIAL FUEL COMBUSTION	0.1273	0.4875	0.1319	0.4493	0.1350	0.4632	0.1385	0.4476		
620	FARMING OPERATIONS	1.2442	0.000	1.2442	0.0000	1.2442	0.000	1.2442	0.0000		
630	-CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000		
640	-PAVED ROAD DUST	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000		
645	-UNPAVED ROAD DUST	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000		
650	-FUGITIVE WINDBLOWN DUST	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000		
660	FIRES	0.0035	0.0011	0.0038	0.0011	0.0038	0.0012	0.0039	0.0012		
670	-MANAGED BURNING AND DISPOSAL	0.3828	0.1440	1.7218	0.6897	1.7218	0.6897	1.7221	0.6897		
690	COOKING	0.0287	0.0000	0.0319	0.0000	0.0339	0.000	0.0359	0.0000		
699	OTHER (MISCELLANEOUS PROCESSES)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000		
	Miscellaneous Total	2.7275	0.6326	3.1338	1.1401	3.1388	1.1541	3.1448	1.1385		
	AREA-WIDE SOURCES TOTAL	9.9218	0.6326	10.5397	1.1401	10.9782	1.1541	11.4529	1.1385		

Mobile Sources

6 - 14: Emission Forecasting

TABLE 6-3	2002 ROC	2002 NO*	2010 ROC	2010 NO _*	2015 ROC	2015 NO*	2020 ROC	2020 NO*
Santa Barbara County	(tons per	(tons per						
Emission Inventory	day)	day)	day)	day)	day)	day)	day)	day)

On-R	oad Motor Vehicles								
710	LIGHT DUTY PASSENGER	6.1110	5.0790	2.9220	2.5770	1.7910	1.5610	1.2370	0.9910
722	LIGHT DUTY TRUCKS-1	2.7330	3.0280	1.7960	1.8300	1.3800	1.3230	0.9790	0.8660
723	LIGHT DUTY TRUCKS 2	1.9110	2.9100	1.4480	2.0750	1.1560	1.4950	0.9420	1.0560
724	MEDIUM DUTY TRUCKS	0.4220	0.8510	0.4070	0.7820	0.3660	0.6010	0.3170	0.4330
732	LIGHT HEAVY DUTY GAS TRUCKS - 1	0.2940	0.2170	0.1880	0.3090	0.1320	0.3090	0.1400	0.2920
733	LIGHT HEAVY DUTY GAS TRUCKS – 2	0.3410	0.3000	0.2260	0.2270	0.1310	0.1900	0.1030	0.1480
73 4	MEDIUM HEAVY DUTY GAS TRUCKS	0.3910	0.3260	0.1920	0.2470	0.1060	0.1750	0.0690	0.1160
736	HEAVY HEAVY DUTY GAS TRUCKS	0.3070	0.8690	0.1720	0.4260	0.0880	0.2480	0.0540	0.1290
742	LIGHT HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS 1	0.0020	0.0320	0.0140	0.3030	0.0080	0.2280	0.0110	0.1640
743	LIGHT HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS 2	0.0100	0.2350	0.0160	0.3030	0.0090	0.2360	0.0120	0.1660
744	-MEDIUM HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS	0.0370	1.8350	0.0430	1.6300	0.0300	1.0860	0.0330	0.7000
746	HEAVY HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS	0.1510	2.1650	0.1620	2.2870	0.0980	1.4540	0.0870	1.0200
750	MOTORCYCLES	0.4040	0.0930	0.5390	0.1790	0.4990	0.1790	0.5110	0.1880
760	HEAVY DUTY DIESEL URBAN BUSES	0.0030	0.3570	0.0120	0.3170	0.0110	0.2820	0.0100	0.2640
762	HEAVY DUTY GAS URBAN BUSES	0.0340	0.0230	0.0270	0.0280	0.0270	0.0300	0.0310	0.0320
770	School Buses	0.0170	0.2160	0.0160	0.3010	0.0110	0.3140	0.0170	0.2790
776	OTHER BUSES	0.0280	0.1020	0.0230	0.1200	0.0150	0.0900	0.0120	0.0610
780	MOTOR HOMES	0.0810	0.2030	0.0440	0.1470	0.0170	0.1140	0.0110	0.0720
	On-Road Motor Vehicles Total	13.2770	18.8410	8.2470	14.0880	5.8750	9.9150	4.5760	6.9770

6 - 15: Emission Forecasting

TABLE 6 3	2002 ROC	2002 NO _*	2010 ROC	2010 NO*	2015 ROC	2015-NO _*	2020 ROC	2020 NO*
Santa Barbara County	(tons per	(tons per	(tons per					
EMISSION INVENTORY	day)	day)	day)	day)	day)	day)	day)	day)

Other	- Mobile Sources								
810	-AIRCRAFT	0.7744	0.0865	0.9106	0.1021	0.9722	0.1077	1.0337	0.1133
820	TRAINS	0.1399	2.6075	0.2331	2.3467	0.2416	2.2518	0.2501	2.1910
830	SHIPS AND COMMERCIAL BOATS	0.0406	0.4931	0.0445	0.5385	0.0470	0.5668	0.0494	0.5951
840	-RECREATIONAL BOATS	1.3761	0.0981	1.1197	0.1655	1.0122	0.1782	0.9618	0.1958
850	OFF ROAD RECREATIONAL VEHICLES	1.6637	0.0774	2.2768	0.1253	2.6419	0.1363	3.1343	0.1585
860	OFF ROAD EQUIPMENT	2.9900	8.1365	2.2217	6.2875	1.7435	4 .7869	1.4736	3.5093
870	FARM EQUIPMENT	0.7249	3.6212	0.5139	2.6557	0.3457	1.905 4	0.2242	1.2542
890	Fuel Storage and Handling	0.6276	0.0000	0.3417	0.000	0.2477	0.0000	0.1994	0.0000
	Other Mobile Sources Total	8.3372	15.1203	7.6620	12.2213	7.2518	9.9331	7.3265	8.0172
	MOBILE SOURCES TOTAL	21.6142	33.9613	15.9090	26.3093	13.1268	19.8481	11.9025	14.9942
		·							
	SOURCE REGISTER EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS	NA	NA	0.3740	0.4377	0.3740	0.4377	0.3740	0.4377
VA	NDENBERG AIR FORCE AIRBORNE LASER MISSION	NA	NA	0.0656	0.3602	0.0656	0.3602	0.0656	0.3602
	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY TOTAL	40.8432	41.2055	36.8641	34.5475	34.9161	28.0051	34.505 4	23.1212

6 - 16: Emission Forecasting

TABLE 6 34	2002 ROC	2002 NO*	2010 ROC	2010 NO*	2015 ROC	2015 NO _*	2020 ROC	2020 NO*
Santa Barbara County	(tons per							
Emission Inventory	day)							

STATIONARY SOURCES

Fuel Combustion

030	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION (COMBUSTION)	0.0685	0.8090	0.0693	0.8070	0.0693	0.8069	0.0693	0.8069
	Fuel Combustion Total	0.0685	0.8090	0.0693	0.8070	0.0693	0.8069	0.0693	0.8069

Cleaning and Surface Coatings

230	COATINGS AND RELATED PROCESS SOLVENTS	0.0542	0.0000	0.0197	0.0000	0.0197	0.0000	0.0197	0.0000
	Cleaning and Surface Coatings Total	0.0542	0.000	0.0197	0.0000	0.0197	0.0000	0.0197	0.000

Petroleum Production and Marketing

310	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION	1.0440	0.0271	1.0440	0.0271	1.0440	0.0271	1.0440	0.0271
	Petroleum Production and Marketing Total	1.0440	0.0271	1.0440	0.0271	1.0440	0.0271	1.0440	0.0271
	STATIONARY SOURCES TOTAL	1.1668	0.8361	1.1330	0.8341	1.1330	0.8340	1.1330	0.8340
				•					

MOBILE SOURCES

Other Mobile Sources

810 AIRCRAFT	0.0214	0.0156	0.0214	0.0156	0.0214	0.0156	0.0214	0.0156
830 SHIPS AND COMMERCIAL BOATS	1.3119	38.3060	1.7400	54.5200	2.0815	65.4960	2.4180	76.3090
840 RECREATIONAL BOATS	1.3761	0.0981	1.1197	0.1655	1.0122	0.1782	0.9618	0.1958
Other Mobile Sources Tota	4 2.709 4	38.4197	2.8811	54.7011	3.1151	65.6898	3.4012	76.5204
MOBILE SOURCES TOTA	ь 2.7094	38.4197	2.8811	54.7011	3.1151	65.6898	3.4012	76.520 4
OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF TOTA	ь <mark>3.8762</mark>	39.2558	4.0141	55.5352	4.2481	66.5238	4.5342	77.35 44

6 - 17: Emission Forecasting

TABLE 6-3	2007 ROC	<u>2007 NO_X</u>	2020 ROC	<u>2020 NO_X</u>	2030 ROC	<u>2030 NO_X</u>
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	(tons per	(tons per	(tons per	(tons per	(tons per	<u>(tons per</u>
EMISSION INVENTORY	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>

STATIONARY SOURCES

Fuel	Combustion						
010	ELECTRIC UTILITIES	0.0019	0.0042	0.0019	0.0042	0.0019	0.0042
020	COGENERATION	0.0323	<u>0.1106</u>	0.0230	0.0789	0.0184	0.0631
030	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION (COMBUSTION)	0.1172	1.7651	0.0844	1.2459	0.0680	0.9986
040	PETROLEUM REFINING (COMBUSTION)	0.0005	0.0121	0.0004	0.0036	0.0003	0.0028
<u>050</u>	MANUFACTURING AND INDUSTRIAL	0.0639	1.0022	<u>0.0639</u>	<u>0.9979</u>	<u>0.0639</u>	<u>0.9979</u>
<u>052</u>	FOOD AND AGRICULTURAL PROCESSING	<u>0.1878</u>	<u>3.8758</u>	<u>0.1179</u>	<u>2.9266</u>	<u>0.1348</u>	3.1373
<u>060</u>	SERVICE AND COMMERCIAL	0.0577	<u>0.6413</u>	<u>0.0626</u>	<u>0.6751</u>	<u>0.0670</u>	0.7251
<u>099</u>	OTHER (FUEL COMBUSTION)	0.0000	0.0000	<u>0.0000</u>	<u>0.0000</u>	0.0000	0.0000
	Fuel Combustion Total	0.4613	<u>7.4113</u>	<u>0.3541</u>	<u>5.9322</u>	<u>0.3543</u>	<u>5.9290</u>
Wast	e Disposal						
110	SEWAGE TREATMENT	0.0020	0.0023	0.0021	0.0023	0.0021	0.0024
120	LANDFILLS	<u>0.1390</u>	0.0046	0.1823	<u>0.0061</u>	0.2152	0.0072
<u>130</u>	INCINERATORS	<u>0.0004</u>	0.0039	<u>0.0004</u>	<u>0.0040</u>	<u>0.0004</u>	0.0041
<u>140</u>	SOIL REMEDIATION	<u>0.0000</u>	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
<u>199</u>	OTHER (WASTE DISPOSAL)	<u>0.0000</u>	0.0000	0.0313	0.0000	0.0313	0.0000
	Waste Disposal Total	<u>0.1414</u>	<u>0.0108</u>	0.2161	<u>0.0124</u>	0.2490	0.0137
<u>Clear</u>	ning and Surface Coatings						
<u>210</u>	LAUNDERING	0.0063	0.0000	<u>0.0069</u>	<u>0.0000</u>	0.0072	0.0000
<u>220</u>	DEGREASING	<u>1.8800</u>	0.0000	2.0473	0.0000	<u>2.0473</u>	0.0000
<u>230</u>	COATINGS AND RELATED PROCESS SOLVENTS	<u>2.0500</u>	0.0000	<u>2.6978</u>	0.0000	2.6978	0.0000
<u>240</u>	PRINTING	0.4775	0.0000	<u>0.5193</u>	<u>0.0000</u>	0.4847	0.0000
<u>250</u>	ADHESIVES AND SEALANTS	<u>0.8300</u>	0.0000	<u>0.7491</u>	0.0000	<u>0.7491</u>	0.0000
<u>299</u>	OTHER (CLEANING AND SURFACE COATINGS)	0.1056	0.0000	0.1511	0.0000	0.1511	0.0000
	Cleaning and Surface Coatings Total	5 3/10/	0 0000	6 1715	0 0000	6 1372	0.0000

Petroleum Production and Marketing

<u>310</u>	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION	2.1050	0.0667	1.8752	0.0575	1.7274	0.0519
320	PETROLEUM REFINING	0.0407	0.0002	0.0290	0.0001	0.0232	0.0001
330	PETROLEUM MARKETING	0.5224	0.0000	0.5502	0.0000	<u>0.5597</u>	0.0000
	Petroleum Production and Marketing Total	2.6681	0.0669	2.4544	<u>0.0576</u>	2.3103	0.0520

6 - 18: Emission Forecasting

TABLE 6-3	2007 ROC	2007 NO _x	2020 ROC	2020 NO _x	2030 ROC	2030 NO _x
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	(tons per	(tons per	(tons per	(tons per	(tons per	(tons per
EMISSION INVENTORY	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>

Indus	strial Processes						
<u>410</u>	CHEMICAL	0.0155	0.0000	0.0108	0.0000	0.0108	0.0000
420	FOOD AND AGRICULTURE	0.1124	0.0000	0.1525	0.0000	0.1689	0.0000
430	MINERAL PROCESSES	0.0043	0.0348	0.0043	0.0348	0.0043	0.0348
440	METAL PROCESSES	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
450	WOOD AND PAPER	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<u>470</u>	ELECTRONICS	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
<u>499</u>	OTHER (INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES)	0.0959	0.0839	0.1488	0.0839	0.1488	0.0839
	Industrial Processes Total	0.2281	0.1187	<u>0.3164</u>	<u>0.1187</u>	0.3328	<u>0.1187</u>
	STATIONARY SOURCES TOTAL	8,8483	7.6077	9.5125	6.1209	9.3836	6.1134
AREA	A-WIDE SOURCES						
Solve	nt Evaporation						1
<u>510</u>	CONSUMER PRODUCTS	2.7200	0.0000	2.9275	0.0000	<u>2.9275</u>	0.0000
<u>520</u>	ARCHITECTURAL COATINGS AND SOLVENTS	1.2200	0.0000	<u>1.5765</u>	0.0000	<u>1.5765</u>	0.0000
<u>530</u>	PESTICIDES/FERTILIZERS	3.2200	0.0000	3.6869	0.0000	3.6869	<u>0.0000</u>
<u>540</u>	ASPHALT PAVING/ROOFING	0.2348	0.0000	0.2997	0.0000	0.3017	0.0000
	Solvent Evaporation Total	7.3948	0.0000	<u>8.4906</u>	0.0000	8.4926	<u>0.0000</u>
Misco	ellaneous						
610	RESIDENTIAL FUEL COMBUSTION	0.0368	0.9098	0.1359	0.3225	0.1369	0.2821
620	FARMING OPERATIONS	0.8768	0.0000	0.8768	0.0000	0.8768	0.0000
630	CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
640	PAVED ROAD DUST	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
645	UNPAVED ROAD DUST	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
650	FUGITIVE WINDBLOWN DUST	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
660	FIRES	0.0000	0.0000	0.0037	0.0032	0.0037	0.0032
<u>670</u>	MANAGED BURNING AND DISPOSAL	0.0225	0.0016	0.0426	0.0020	0.0461	0.0020
<u>690</u>	COOKING	0.0283	0.0000	0.0332	0.0000	0.0368	0.0000
<u>699</u>	OTHER (MISCELLANEOUS PROCESSES)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	Miscellaneous Total	0.9644	0.9114	1.0922	0.3277	1.1003	0.2873
	AREA-WIDE SOURCES TOTAL	8.3592	0.9114	9.5828	0.3277	9.5929	0.2873

6 - 19: Emission Forecasting

1	TABLE 6-3	2007 ROC	<u>2007 NO_X</u>	2020 ROC	<u>2020 NO_X</u>	2030 ROC	<u>2030 NO_X</u>
	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	(tons per	<u>(tons per</u>	(tons per	(tons per	(tons per	<u>(tons per</u>
	EMISSION INVENTORY	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>

MOBILE SOURCES

On-R	oad Motor Vehicles						
710	LIGHT DUTY PASSENGER	<u>3.6800</u>	<u>3.0200</u>	<u>1.1400</u>	<u>0.8000</u>	0.6800	<u>0.3900</u>
722	LIGHT DUTY TRUCKS – 1	<u>1.9000</u>	<u>1.8800</u>	<u>0.0900</u>	<u>0.7000</u>	0.4900	0.2700
723	LIGHT DUTY TRUCKS – 2	<u>1.5300</u>	<u>2.1900</u>	<u>0.8700</u>	<u>0.8600</u>	0.6700	<u>0.4500</u>
724	MEDIUM DUTY TRUCKS	<u>0.4100</u>	<u>0.8000</u>	<u>0.2900</u>	<u>0.3500</u>	0.2400	<u>0.1900</u>
732	LIGHT HEAVY DUTY GAS TRUCKS – 1	<u>0.1800</u>	<u>0.3100</u>	<u>0.1200</u>	<u>0.2800</u>	<u>0.1100</u>	<u>0.2500</u>
733	LIGHT HEAVY DUTY GAS TRUCKS - 2	0.2000	0.2500	<u>0.0900</u>	<u>0.1400</u>	0.0500	<u>0.1100</u>
734	MEDIUM HEAVY DUTY GAS TRUCKS	0.2400	<u>0.3100</u>	<u>0.0600</u>	0.1200	0.0400	<u>0.0600</u>
736	HEAVY HEAVY DUTY GAS TRUCKS	<u>0.1900</u>	<u>0.6300</u>	<u>0.0500</u>	<u>0.1300</u>	0.0200	<u>0.0500</u>
742	LIGHT HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS – 1	<u>0.0100</u>	<u>0.3800</u>	<u>0.0000</u>	<u>0.1400</u>	0.0000	<u>0.0800</u>
743	LIGHT HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS – 2	<u>0.0100</u>	<u>0.3300</u>	<u>0.0100</u>	<u>0.1400</u>	0.0000	<u>0.0800</u>
744	MEDIUM HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS	<u>0.0300</u>	<u>2.0500</u>	<u>0.0300</u>	<u>0.7400</u>	0.0200	<u>0.4100</u>
<u>746</u>	HEAVY HEAVY DUTY DIESEL TRUCKS	<u>0.1600</u>	<u>2.7700</u>	<u>0.0700</u>	<u>0.9700</u>	<u>0.0500</u>	<u>0.6200</u>
750	MOTORCYCLES	<u>0.54<mark>00</mark></u>	<u>0.1600</u>	<u>0.4400</u>	<u>0.1500</u>	<u>0.4600</u>	<u>0.1500</u>
760	HEAVY DUTY DIESEL URBAN BUSES	0.0100	0.3200	0.0100	0.2600	0.0100	0.2200
<u>762</u>	HEAVY DUTY GAS URBAN BUSES	<u>0.0200</u>	<u>0.0300</u>	<u>0.0300</u>	<u>0.0300</u>	<u>0.0100</u>	<u>0.0200</u>
770	SCHOOL BUSES	<u>0.0100</u>	<u>0.3400</u>	<u>0.0100</u>	<u>0.3200</u>	<u>0.0100</u>	<u>0.2800</u>
776	OTHER BUSES	0.0200	<u>0.1300</u>	<u>0.0100</u>	<u>0.0600</u>	0.0100	<u>0.0400</u>
780	MOTOR HOMES	<u>0.0300</u>	<u>0.1700</u>	<u>0.0100</u>	<u>0.0800</u>	0.0000	<u>0.0300</u>
	On-Road Motor Vehicles Total	9.1700	16.0600	4 <u>.1900</u>	<u>6.2800</u>	2.8600	<u>3.7200</u>

Other Mobile Sources

810	AIRCRAFT	0.3102	0.8321	0.3792	1.0821	0.4270	1.2554	
820	TRAINS	0.2100	3.0500	<u>0.1983</u>	<u>2.4922</u>	<u>0.1983</u>	<u>2.4922</u>	
830	SHIPS AND COMMERCIAL BOATS	0.0431	0.5552	0.0506	<u>0.6445</u>	0.0554	<u>0.6999</u>	
840	RECREATIONAL BOATS	0.6930	<u>0.0994</u>	0.4980	0.1200	0.5920	<u>0.1610</u>	
850	OFF-ROAD RECREATIONAL VEHICLES	0.8440	0.0824	1.1280	0.1020	1.5350	0.1110	
860	OFF-ROAD EQUIPMENT	<u>2.4790</u>	7.1000	1.3960	<u>3.5100</u>	1.2790	2.500	
870	FARM EQUIPMENT	0.5008	2.4900	0.1904	1.0300	0.1220	0.4930	
890	FUEL STORAGE AND HANDLING	0.3700	0.0000	<u>0.1994</u>	0.0000	<u>0.1994</u>	0.0000	
	Other Mobile Sources Total	5.4501	14.2091	4.0399	<u>8.9808</u>	4.4081	7.7125	
	MOBILE SOURCES TOTAL	14.6201	30.2691	8.2299	15.2608	7.2681	<u>11.4325</u>	
	SOURCE REGISTER EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS	NA	NA	0.3077	0.6212	<u>0.3077</u>	0.6212	
	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY TOTAL	31.8276	38.7882	27.6329	22.3306	26.5523	18.4544	

6 - 20: Emission Forecasting

TABLE 6 – 4	<u>2007 ROC</u>	<u>2007 NO_x</u>	2020 ROC	<u>2020 NO_x</u>	2030 ROC	<u>2030 NO_x</u>
OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF	(tons per	<u>(tons per</u>	(tons per	<u>(tons per</u>	(tons per	<u>(tons per</u>
EMISSIONS INVENTORY	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>	<u>day)</u>

Fuel Combustion						
030 OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION (COMBUSTION)	0.0284	0.5706	0.0284	0.5640	0.0284	0.5640
Fuel Combustion Total	0.0284	0.5706	0.0284	0.5640	0.0284	0.5640
Cleaning and Surface Coatings						
230 COATINGS AND RELATED PROCESS SOLVENTS	0.0355	0.0000	0.0355	0.0000	0.0355	0.0000
Cleaning and Surface Coatings Total	0.0355	0.0000	0.0355	0.0000	0.0355	0.0000
Petroleum Production and Marketing						
310 OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION	0.7654	0.0129	0.7654	0.0129	0.7654	0.0129
Petroleum Production and Marketing Total	0.7654	0.0129	0.7654	0.0129	0.7654	0.0129
STATIONARY SOURCES TOTAL	0.8293	0.5835	0.8293	0.5769	0.8293	0.5769

MOBILE SOURCES

	Othe	<u>r Mobile Sources</u>						
	810	AIRCRAFT	0.0092	0.0040	0.0092	0.0040	0.0092	0.0040
	830	SHIPS AND COMMERCIAL BOATS	1.6110	<u>49.2571</u>	2.2277	48.2300	3.5029	41.870
	840	RECREATIONAL BOATS	0.8200	0.10000	0.4809	<u>0.0979</u>	0.4809	0.0979
		Other Mobile Sources Total	2.4402	<u>49.3611</u>	2.7178	<u>48.3319</u>	3.9930	41.9719
		MOBILE SOURCES TOTAL	2.4402	<u>49.3611</u>	2.7178	48.3319	3.9930	41.9719
I		OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF TOTAL	<u>3.2695</u>	49.9446	3.5471	48.9088	4.8223	42.5488

6 - 21: Emission Forecasting

2007 CLEAN AIR I LAN ACTIVITI INDICATORS AND FACTORS FOR 2015, 2015 AND 2020										
ACTIVITY	UNITS		¥	ALUE	LUE -		FACTOR	INFORMATION SOURCE		
INDICATOR		2002	2010	2015	2020	2010	2015	2020	BUUKEE	
Agricultural Acres	Acres	120,653	121,527	114,596	110,350	1.007	0.950	0.915	(7)	
Aircraft Operations	Operations	304,464	385,300	414,200	443,100	1.266	1.360	1.455	$\frac{(1)}{(10)}$	
Daily Vehicle Miles	1,000 Miles Traveled	9,952	12,064	13,108	14,151	1.212	1.317	1.422	(12)	
EMP Commercial	Employees	92,300	102,700	109,200	115,700	1.113	1.183	1.254	(11)	
EMP Industrial	Employees	28,900	35,820	39,840	43,860	1.239	1.379	1.518	(11)	
EMP. Public Services	Employees	39,480	4 2,000	4 3,200	44,400	1.064	1.094	1.125	(11)	
Housing	Households	140,638	154,053	160,724	164,641	1.095	1.143	1.171	(11)	
Landfills	1,000 Tons in Place	16,729	20,983	23,545	25,443	1.254	1.407	1.521	(13)	
Locomotives	Annual Train Passages	6,023	10,038	10,403	10,768	1.667	1.727	1.788	$\frac{(2)}{(4)}$	
No Growth	No Units	4	4	+	+	1.000	1.000	1.000	(8)	
OCS Production	No Units	4	1	1	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	(9)	
Petroleum Production	1,000 Barrels Oil	3,635	2,517	2,044	1,672	0.692	0.562	0.460	(3)	
Petroleum Wells	Producing & Inactive Wells	2,202	1,979	1,871	1,762	0.899	0.850	0.800	(3)	
Population	Residents	399,300	4 62,000	488,000	505,000	1.157	1.222	1.265	(11)	
Prescribed Fires	Acres	1,275	6,250	6,250	6,250	4.902	4.902	4.902	(15)	
Ship Activity	1,000 Kilowatts	165,081	249,509	300,610	350,966	1.511	1.821	2.126	(5) / (6)	

TABLE 6-5 2007 CLEAN AIR PLAN ACTIVITY INDICATORS AND FACTORS FOR 2010, 2015 AND 2020

INFORMATION SOURCES

- (1) Airport Master Plans within Santa Barbara County
- (2) AMTRAK
- (3) California Department of Conservation Divisions of Oil & Gas
- (4) California Department of Transportation
- (5) Lloyds Maritime Database
- (6) Marine Exchange of Southern California
- (7) Santa Barbara County Agriculture Commissioner: Agricultural Crop Reports
- (8) Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District
- (9) Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District Community Advisory Council
- (10) Santa Barbara County Association of Governments
- (11) Santa Barbara County Association of Governments 2004 Regional Growth Forecast
- (12) Santa Barbara County Association of Governments Travel Model
- (13) Solid Waste Agencies within Santa Barbara County
- (14) Union Pacific
- (15) United States Forest Service

6 - 22: Emission Forecasting

ACTIVITY	UNITS		VALUE		FAC	CTOR	INFORMATION SOURCE	
INDICATOR		2007	2020	2030	2020	2030		
Agricultural Acres	Acres	132,434	110,826	131,334	0.8368	0.9917	Agricultural Commissioner's Crop Reports	
Aircraft Operations	Operations	259,746	340,383	396,238	1.3104	1.5255	Airport Master Plans / SBCAG RTP	
Daily Vehicle Miles	1,000 Miles Traveled	10,346	11,515	11,852	1.1130	1.1456	SBCAG Travel Model	
EMP Commercial	Employees	103,180	121,150	134,250	1.1742	1.3011	SBCAG RGF	
EMP Industrial	Employees	24,506	24,500	24,500	0.9998	0.9998	SBCAG RGF	
EMP Public Services	Employees	39,650	40,950	41,950	1.0328	1.0580	SBCAG RGF	
Housing	Households	149,022	157,648	164,422	1.0579	1.1033	SBCAG RGF	
Landfills	1,000 Tons Waste in Place	19,022	24,950	29,442	1.3116	1.5478	Local Solid Waste Agencies	
Locomotives	Annual Train Passages	10,038	16,729	16,729	1.6666	1.6666	AMTRAK/Union Pacific	
No Growth	No Units	1	1	1	1.0000	1.0000	APCD	
OCS Production	No Units	1	1	1	1.0000	1.0000	APCD Community Advisory Council	
Petroleum Production	1,000 Barrels Oil	3,178	2,267	1,813	0.7133	0.5705	CA Division of Oil & Gas	
Petroleum Wells	Producing & Inactive Wells	2,105	1,903	1,762	0.9040	0.8371	CA Division of Oil & Gas	
Population	Residents	422,580	459,600	481,400	1.0876	1.1392	SBCAG RGF	
Prescribed Fires	Acres	1,275	6,250	6,250	4.9020	4.9020	U.S. Forest Service	
Ship Activity	1,000 TEU	15,668	21,827	34,563	1.3931	2.2060	Ports of LA and LB	

TABLE 6-52010 Clean Air Plan Activity Indicators and Factors for 2020 and 2030

6 - 23: Emission Forecasting





6 - 24: Emission Forecasting





6 - 25: Emission Forecasting





* Percentage of total NO_x emissions from Other Mobile Sources – Foreign and US Ships-in-Transit.

6 - 26: Emission Forecasting

 $FIGURE\ 6-4$ Santa Barbara County and OCS NO_x Emissions Forecast Marine Vessels Excluded



6 - 27: Emission Forecasting





* Percentage of total ROC emissions from Other Mobile Sources – Foreign and US Ships-in-Transit.

6 - 28: Emission Forecasting



FIGURE 6-6 Santa Barbara County and OCS ROC Emissions Forecast Marine Vessels Excluded

6 - 29: Emission Forecasting



FIGURE 6-7 POTENTIAL MARINE SHIPPING NO_x EMISSIONS BASED ON PROPOSED MARPOL ANNEX VI REVISIONS COMPARISON TO CURRENT MARINE SHIPPING NO_x EMISSION FORECASTS

6 - 30: Emission Forecasting

Formatted: Strikethrough