

Agenda Date: May 20, 2010  
Agenda Placement: Admin  
Estimated Time: N/A  
Continued Item: No

## Board Agenda Item

TO: Air Pollution Control District Board

FROM: Terry Dressler, Air Pollution Control Officer

CONTACT: Sara Brumit, Executive Secretary/Board Clerk (961-8853)

SUBJECT: Minutes of the January 2010 CAC Meeting

---

### RECOMMENDATION:

Receive and file the attached minutes from the January 27, 2010 meeting of the Community Advisory Council.

### DISCUSSION:

The attached minutes summarize the January Community Advisory Council meeting.

**Minutes  
of  
The Santa Barbara County  
Air Pollution Control District  
Community Advisory Council**

**January 27, 2010**

**I.** The meeting was called to order at 6:37 p.m.

**II.** Roll Call – Absent: Chaabane, Chytilo, Dugger, Kephart, Kober, Peitzke, Robinson

There was a quorum in attendance.

**III.** Approval of Minutes

Motion to approve October 28, 2009 minutes.

Farina/Shelor

Motion Passed: 10:0:0

**IV.** Public Comment

Dr. Inge Cox asked how Notice of Violation (NOV) penalties are determined. Terry Dressler explained that maximum penalties are established in the Health and Safety Code. He said that penalties could be determined in superior court, or more commonly, are negotiated through a mutual settlement process between the district and the parties who violate the rules.

**V.** Organization of Agenda and Declaration of Interests.

There was no change to the organization of the agenda. Since no vote would be taken, it was not necessary to do a declaration of interests.

**VI.** APCO Report

Terry Dressler welcomed back Dr. Inge Cox who was recently appointed to the CAC by Supervisor Doreen Farr. Dr. Cox had served on the CAC in the past and had returned from a fellowship in occupational and environmental medicine at the University of Washington.

Mr. Dressler reported that each year, in compliance with the Health and Safety Code, the District publishes a list of the rules which may be considered during the year. The major rules for consideration this year are: Rule 321 – Solvent Cleaning Machines and Solvent Cleaning, Rule 370 – Potential to Emit Limitations for Part 70 Sources, Rule 901 – New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), Regulation V – Hearing Board administrative updates, Regulation VI – Emergencies (public notification administrative updates). Other rules that were included on the public notice may be considered for revision if warranted.

**VII.** New Business

A. Discuss and consider the draft 2010 Clean Air Plan Chapter 1 – Introduction.

Ron Tan of the APCD gave an overview of the Plan including its purpose, planning requirements, summary of attainment planning efforts, plan organization, and the schedule for CAC review of the draft plan. The proposed schedule is as follows:

- April 14 – Chapters 4 (Emission Control Measures), 5 (Transportation Control Measures), and 6 (Emission Forecasting);
- May 12 – Chapters 7 (State and Federal Clean Air Act Requirements), 8 (State Triennial Progress Report and Plan Revision) and 9 (Climate Protection);
- June 9 – Executive Summary and entire draft Plan and draft environmental document;
- July 14 – Discuss changes to draft Plan and recommend Board approval;
- August 19 – Present draft 2010 Plan to the APCD Board of Directors;
- September 16 – Adoption of transportation control measures by SBCAG Board;
- October 21 – APCD Board adoption of the Plan

A suggestion was made to begin the first paragraph of the Introduction by stating that the purpose of the Plan is to attain the state ozone standard.

**B. Discuss and consider the draft 2010 Clean Air Plan Chapter 2 – Local Air Quality**

Joe Petrini of the APCD reviewed the county's climate and air quality assessments as indicated in the graphs and tables in the chapter. A suggestion was made to add a legend to Table 2-1 to explain the "Attainment Status" columns.

**C. Discuss and consider the draft 2010 Clean Air Plan Chapter 3 – Emission Inventory.**

Joe Petrini explained the emission inventory process and reviewed the graphs and tables in the chapter. A suggestion was made to more specifically identify marine shipping emissions in the graphs, and other suggestions were made to correct some inconsistencies in percentages and clarify the conclusion on page 3-13.

**VIII. Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned at 8:25 p.m.