Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District

Report to the Board of Directors and Management June 30, 2014



104 West Anapamu Street, Suite B | Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Main: (805) 966-1521 | Fax: (805) 963-1780 | www.nhhco.com William J. Nasif
Steven J. Hicks, Retired
Jeffery P. Harris
Jody Dolan Holehouse
Thomas W. Burk
Marianne F. Bloom
Robert Swayne Lyons
Lawrence W. Brown
Sarah E. Turner

May 1, 2015

To the Board of Directors Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District

Dear Board Members:

Annually, we review with the Board of Directors (the "Board") and management those matters that are required by generally accepted auditing standards to be communicated, as well as other matters we believe would be of interest to the Board and management. The attached report outlines those areas where we are required to communicate and certain other matters related to the audit of the financial statements of the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (APCD) for the year ended June 30, 2014.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (805) 966-1521.

Sincerely,

Nasif, Hicks, Harris & Co., LLP

Ву,

Sarah E. Turner

Table of Contents

Section		Page
I.	Scope	1
II.	Results of the Audit	2
III.	Required Communications	3
IV.	Report to Management	6

I. Scope

- The matters raised in this report are only those which have come to our attention arising from our audit that we believe need to be brought to the attention of management and the Board. They are not a comprehensive record of all the matters arising, and in particular, we cannot be held responsible for reporting all risks in your business or all internal control weaknesses. This report has been prepared solely for the use of management and the Board and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. No responsibility to any third party is accepted as the report has not been prepared for, and is not intended for, any other purpose.
- Because of the inherent limitations of an audit, it is possible that material misstatements of the financial statements resulting from fraud and, to a lesser extent from error may not have been detected.

II. Results of the Audit

- We have completed our audit of the financial statements of APCD for the year ended June 30, 2014 and issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statements and a modified opinion on the Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133.
- The primary purposes of this report are to present significant findings arising from our audit procedures and to communicate recommended enhancements to the internal control structure which came to our attention during our audit.
- We received full cooperation from management who provided us with complete access to the books and records of APCD. There were no restrictions on the scope of our audit procedures.

III. Required Communications

Matter to be Communicated

Our Response

Auditor's responsibility under Generally Accepted **Auditing Standards**

- The auditor should communicate the responsibility assumed under Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (GAAS).
- Our audit is designed to obtain reasonable, not absolute, assurance about the financial statements. This was also communicated in our engagement letter dated September 2, 2014.

Significant Accounting Policies and Unusual **Transactions**

- The auditor should determine that those charged with governance are informed about the initial selection of and changes in significant accounting policies, including critical accounting policies, as well as the methods used to account for significant unusual transactions and the auditor's judgment about the quality of accounting principles.
- We have reviewed APCD's accounting policies and believe that they are reasonable.

Management Judgments and Accounting Estimates

- Those charged with governance should be informed about the process used by management in forming particularly sensitive accounting estimates and about the basis for the auditor's conclusions regarding the reasonableness of those estimates.
- The following items are the most significant areas encountered during our audit which involved substantial levels of management judgment or application of accounting estimates. These estimates are sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may ultimately differ from management's current judgment:
 - OPEB asset at 6/30/2014

Other Information in Documents Containing Audited **Financial Information**

- Those charged with governance should be informed as to the auditor's responsibility for information in a document containing audited financial statements, as well as any procedures performed and the related results.
- We are responsible for the review of other information in all documents containing audited financial statements to ensure their consistency with the financial statements. As noted in the Independent Auditors' Report dated May 1, 2015, we performed certain limited procedures on the management's discussion and analysis and the budgetary comparison schedule. The introductory section and the statistical tables were not subjected to auditing procedures.

Significant Audit Adjustments

- communicated to management.
- All significant adjustments arising from the audit should be No significant adjustments arose during the audit process.

III. Required Communications

Matter to be Communicated

Our Response

Disagreements with Management

- Disagreements with management, whether or not satisfactorily resolved, about matters that could be significant to the entity's financial statements or the auditor's report should be communicated to those charged with governance.
- No such disagreements occurred.

Consultation with Other Accountants

- When the auditor is aware that management has consulted with other accountants about significant accounting or auditing matters, the auditor's views about the subject of the consultation should be communicated to those charged with governance.
- We are not aware of any consultations with other independent accountants on any significant accounting or auditing matters.

Major Issues Discussed with Management Prior to Retention

- Any major issues that were discussed with management in No such issues were encountered. connection with our annual retention should be communicated to those charged with governance.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

- Serious difficulties encountered in dealing with No such difficulties were encountered. management that relate to the performance of the audit are required to be brought to the attention of those charged with governance.

Deficiencies in Internal Control

- Those charged with governance should be advised of any material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control structure coming to the auditor's attention during the audit.
- Refer to Section IV. Report to Management for internal control observations.
- Refer to the Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards dated May 1, 2015.

Material Uncertainties Related to Events and Conditions, **Specifically Going Concern Issues**

- The auditor should communicate any doubt regarding the No material uncertainties were identified. entity's ability to continue as a going concern, and any other material uncertainties.

III. Required Communications

Matter to be Communicated

Our Response

Fraud and Illegal Acts

- Those charged with governance should be adequately informed of any fraud or illegal acts noted.
- During the course of our audit of the financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2014, we did not become aware of any fraud or illegal acts.
- However, an auditor cannot obtain absolute assurance that material misstatements in the financial statements will be Because of the characteristic of fraud, particularly those involving concealment and falsified documentation including forgery, a properly planned audit may not detect a material misstatement. Further, the determination of whether an act is illegal is usually beyond the auditor's professional competence. auditor's training and experience should ordinarily provide a reasonable basis for awareness that some client acts coming to their attention in the performance of the audit might be illegal. The further removed an illegal act is from events and transactions specifically reflected in the financial statements, the less likely the auditor is to become aware of the act or recognize the possible illegality.

Independence

- Those charged with governance should be informed of any relationships that in our professional judgment may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence.
- To the best of our knowledge, there are no circumstances or relationships between APCD and Nasif, Hicks, Harris & Co., LLP that would impair our independence in reporting on the financial statements.
- We are independent accountants within the meaning of Rule 101 of the Code of Professional Conduct of the American Institute of Certified Accountants.

Other Material Written Communications

- Those charged with governance should be informed of other material written communications between the auditor and management.
- In addition to this report, the following are other material written communications between Nasif, Hicks, Harris & Co., LLP and APCD during 2014:
 - Engagement letter for the audit of APCD for the year ended June 30, 2014 dated September 2, 2014.
 - Management representation letter dated May 1, 2015
 - Independent Auditors' Report for the year ended June 30, 2014 dated May 1, 2015.
 - Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards for the year ended June 30, 2014 dated May 1, 2015.

IV. Report to Management

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the governmental activities, and major fund of the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (APCD) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered APCD's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of APCD's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of APCD's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management, and others within the organization, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Other Matter

Review of Journal Entries

Observation:

We noted the journal entry review process is not consistent among the financial systems. Entries made to the FIN system by the Supervising Accountant are approved by the Santa Barbara Auditor-Controller's office, but the entries made by the Supervising Accountant to the UA software are not approved by someone else. APCD does not have a formal written policy regarding the journal entry review and approval process. Because of this it is possible that unauthorized or unsupported journal entries may get posted to the financial system.

Recommendation:

We recommend that APCD develop a formal written policy for the review and approval of journal entries. APCD should ensure that the process designed will improve accuracy and ensure proper authorization of the journal entries.

Nasif, Hicks, Harris & Co., LLP

Masil, His, Ham do, up