

TO:	Air Pollution Control District Board of Directors
FROM:	Brian Shafritz
DATE:	June 17, 2014
SUBJECT:	Additional Comments and Response to Comments for the Proposed Rule 323.1 Board Letter: June 19, 2014 Board Hearing

Staff docketed a proposed Rule 323.1, Architectural Coatings, for your June 19, 2014 Board Hearing. Please find enclosed the following items pertaining to this agenda item.

Additions to the Board letter Attachment 3, Public Comments and Responses to Public Comments

These changes are needed to include the Dunn-Edwards Corporation comments of June 17 and to include the District's response to the comments. The new additional page to Attachment 3 is hereby integrated into the June 19, 2014 Rule 323.1 Board Letter.

form23b.docx

Comments from Robert Wendoll of the Dunn-Edwards Corporation, June 17, 2014

COMMENT 1

Dunn-Edwards Corporation is a Californiabased manufacturer and distributor of architectural coatings, serving the Southwestern United States. Our Main Office and a majority of our retail outlets are located in California, where we employ more than 1,500 people directly, and contribute indirectly to the livelihoods of thousands more professional painting contractors and maintenance staff painters throughout the state.

RESPONSE TO COMMENT 1

Comment noted.

COMMENT 2

Dunn-Edwards is pleased to support the adoption of Santa Barbara County APCD Proposed Rule 323.1 - Architectural Coatings, as recommended for adoption at the Board hearing on June 19, 2014. As it is essentially consistent with the California Air **Resources Board's Suggested Control** Measure for Architectural Coatings, the new rule will promote effective enforcement by the District, efficient distribution of product by manufacturers and retailers, and simplify compliance for painters, both professional and do-it-yourselfers. We thank District staff for their consideration of comments previously offered by Dunn-Edwards and the American Coatings Association.

RESPONSE TO COMMENT 2

Comment noted.